```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                  WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
                      FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION
2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4
        Plaintiff,
5
                                    CASE NO. 5:15-CR-50080
     VS.
6
     SHILO WATTS,
7
        Defendant.
8
9
                TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY L. BROOKS
                     May 13, 2016; 1:42 p.m.
10
                     FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS
11
   FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
12
13
        Mr. Dustin S. Roberts
        United States Attorney's Office
        414 Parker Avenue
14
        P.O. Box 1524
15
        Fort Smith, Arkansas 72902
        479-783-5125 Phone
        479-441-0578 Fax
16
        Dustin.Roberts@usdoj.gov
17
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
18
        Mr. Joe Alfaro
19
        Assistant Federal Public Defender
        3739 N. Steele Blvd., Suite 280
        Fayetteville, AR 72703
20
         (479) 442-2306
21
        Joe alfaro@fd.org
22
   Proceedings recorded in realtime via machine shorthand.
23
                   Dana Hayden, CCR, RMR, CRR
24
                 Federal Official Court Reporter
                     35 East Mountain Street
25
                  Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: The next matter before the Court is
the case of the <u>United States versus Shilo Watts</u>.
                                                   0ur
case number is 5:15-CR-50080.
         Dustin Roberts appears on behalf of the United
States.
         Joe Alfaro appears on behalf of Mr. Watts.
Donna Brown is here from U.S. probation.
         Good afternoon, Mr. Watts.
         THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, sir.
         THE COURT: Do you understand the purpose of
our hearing today is for the Court to impose its -- you
can remain seated at this point, sir.
         Do you understand that the purpose of our
hearing today is for the Court to impose its sentence
upon you as a consequence of your conviction in this
case?
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do.
         THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you
consumed any medications, drugs or other substances that
would have the effect of clouding your mind or causing
you not to understand what's going on here today?
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         No, sir, I have not.
         THE COURT: Now, Mr. Alfaro has been your
attorney throughout these proceedings; is that correct?
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, correct.
         THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied with his
```

1 legal services and representation of you in this matter? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I am. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Watts. THE DEFENDANT: 4 Thank you, sir. 5 THE COURT: It would be appropriate that we begin our hearing with a brief review of the procedural 6 7 history of your case that brings us to this point. You 8 were indicted on what I believe originally was a three-count -- I'm sorry, a five-count indictment that 10 alleged various counts, but essentially transporting a 11 minor with the intent to engage in sexual activities and 12 knowingly crossing a state line with the intent to 13 engage in sexual activities with a child under the age 14 of 12 years old. 15 You appeared back before the Court on January 6 16 of this year, at which time you entered a plea of guilty 17 to Count One of the indictment, which was one of the 18 counts that charged you with knowingly transporting a 19 minor with the intent to engage in criminal sexual 20 activities. 21 I accepted your plea hearing -- or I accepted 22 your guilty plea at that hearing, but I deferred 23 approval of your plea agreement until such time as I 24 could be informed by the contents of the presentence

investigative report. So since you were here in

25

January, that's the process that we have been going through.

The probation officer filed the initial presentence report on April 6th of this year, and in response to that, the government had one objection and your attorney filed, I believe, 17 objections on your behalf.

The probation officer was able to resolve some of those objections but not all of those objections at that time and, instead, a final presentence report was filed on May 3rd of this year.

Now, at the same time that the final presentence report was filed, a document that we call an addendum was filed as well. The addendum is the document where the probation officer explains why some objections have been resolved and why others have not been resolved.

Our rules require that your attorney meet with you and go over these presentence reports that I have described, as well as the addendum, and I need to have you confirm on the record whether or not that has happened in your case. Did Mr. Alfaro meet with you and review these documents with you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, he did.

THE COURT: Did he explain the contents of the

```
1
   presentence report and the addendum to you?
2
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, he did.
3
            THE COURT: And did he answer any and all
4
   questions that you may have had?
5
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, he did.
            THE COURT: Mr. Alfaro, can you confirm on the
6
7
   record that you've received the initial and final
8
   presentence reports, as well as the addendum and that
9
   you have reviewed those with Mr. Watts and that you've
10
   answered any and all questions that he may have had?
            MR. ALFARO: I have, your Honor.
11
12
            THE COURT: All right. Well, in addition to
13
   the final presentence report and the addendum, the Court
14
   has received and reviewed the government's sentencing
15
   memorandum, the defendant's sentencing memorandum, and
   the Court has received a letter that's been written in
16
17
   support of Mr. Watts by someone who I understand to be
18
   an extremely close friend, and I appreciate the
19
   opportunity to have been able to read that letter.
20
            Mr. Roberts, other than the documents that I
21
   have identified, are you aware of any other materials
22
   that have been submitted for the Court's review?
23
            MR. ROBERTS:
                          No, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Mr. Alfaro?
24
25
            MR. ALFARO: No, your Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's turn now to these objections. The government filed one objection, I believe, and my understanding is that that objection was resolved by the final presentence report. Is that correct?

MR. ROBERTS: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. After the filing of the final presentence report, numerous objections that the defendant had raised remained outstanding. Many of those objections have to do with the multiple count adjustments and the rules for multiple count adjustments in cases where there are multiple victims in a child sex trafficking case such as this.

Those objections are technical and I don't know that we need to take an extremely long amount of time for the Court to itemize what all those objections are since I understand that in the last few days, the government has conceded certain objections; and in light of that, as I understand as of late yesterday that Mr. Alfaro is withdrawing, I guess would be the proper term, certain other objections that he had. And I'll go over a little bit of a summary of that in a moment, but at this point, I want to make sure that my understanding is correct that with the concession by the government of groups 4, 5, 7 and 8, and with the defendant's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
subsequent concession of groups 2, 3 and 6 that there
remain at this point no other objections, or at least no
other objections that would be guideline-determinative.
         Is my understanding on that point correct,
Mr. Alfaro?
         MR. ALFARO: Your Honor, that would be correct.
The only objection that we would still argue that is not
guideline-determinative would be defense objection 16,
referring to the prior charge that was ultimately
dismissed in the PSR, Paragraph 140.
         THE COURT: Paragraph 140?
         MR. ALFARO: I believe that's correct, yes,
       Paragraph 140 on Page 19, that would be the only
Judge.
objection that is not guideline-determinative that we
recognize but that we would still assert.
                     Oh, okay. I thought you were
         THE COURT:
saying that there remains only one objection that is
guideline-determinative, and you kind of threw me on
that.
      Okay.
         MR. ALFARO: I apologize, your Honor.
         THE COURT: All right. Well, we will take this
up in a second. I just want to make a clean record that
the defense is still maintaining its
nonguideline-determinative objection. I believe that
was 16, did you say?
```

```
1
            MR. ALFARO: Yes, your Honor.
2
            THE COURT:
                         Other than Objection Number 16, all
   of the other of the defendant's objections have either
3
4
   been conceded by the government or withdrawn by
   Mr. Watts; is that correct?
5
            MR. ALFARO: Judge, I wouldn't classify that --
6
7
   I apologize for not clearing -- the other one we
8
   wouldn't withdraw -- we wouldn't withdraw any other
9
   guideline-determinative ones.
10
            THE COURT:
                         Okav.
11
            MR. ALFARO: We would maintain those but
12
   recognize that the judge does not have to rule on those
13
   specific ones.
14
            THE COURT: All right. So with the exception
15
   of the nonquideline-determinative objections, all other
16
   objections that do impact the guidelines have been
17
   either conceded by the government or are being
18
               Is that correct?
   withdrawn.
19
            MR. ALFARO:
                          That's correct, Judge.
20
            THE COURT: And of the
21
   nonguideline-determinative objections, you would like to
22
   see the Court rule on your Objection Number 16, but you
23
   concede that the Court need not, and you're not seeking
24
   a ruling on the other nonguideline-determinative issues.
25
   Is that right?
```

1 MR. ALFARO: Correct, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Roberts, do you agree to the Court's recitation of the group concessions 3 4 that I articulated a few moments ago, as well as is your understanding that the defendant has withdrawn its group 5 objections that I itemized? 6 7 MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, I believe the Court has it right. I do want to clarify. Objections 1 8 9 through 6, which are factual objections, that's noted in 10 the addendum. The defense is not claiming that those 11 statements were not made by the victim; they're just 12 saying that they're not true. 13 THE COURT: Right. 14 MR. ROBERTS: So with that clarification, yes, 15 the Court has it right, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Alfaro, I'll let you be heard on your Objection Number 16 that goes to 17 18 Paragraph 140 of the PSR. 19 MR. ALFARO: Thank your Honor. 20 Just briefly, this charge is potentially -- or 21 let me rephrase that -- has factual information that 22 we're not objecting to in that that information is 23 contained in some sort of report. However, we are 24 contesting the factual -- I guess the truth of those

25

facts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Given that this offense was dismissed, it wasn't put through the test of the judicial process, we would ask that it be removed because we believe it could affect Mr. Watts' placement in the BOP as far as their score of his risk or a danger as this is an assault charge. So for that reason, given that it was dismissed and that he is contesting the facts in that paragraph as noted in our sentencing memo, we would object to that and ask that it be taken out, Judge. That's all I have. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Alfaro. Mr. Roberts? MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, pursuant to Rule 32, I don't believe the Court needs to make a ruling on that in that it does not affect the guidelines; it does not need to be considered for sentencing purposes. I understand what they are saying as far as designation within BOP. I was planning on putting the probation officer up to prove it up if necessary, but it sounds like they're conceding that those reports say what they are referring to. I think it's properly considered under "Other Arrests," your Honor. THE COURT: All right. This is a nonguideline-determinative issue, and all things in this

case considered, it is not going to have any impact on the Court's sentencing decision, and for that reason the Court is going to deny the -- or overrule, I should say, the defendant's objection with the understanding that the premise of the objection is not -- that the court records do not show what Paragraph 140 purports them to show but, rather, the defendant is merely denying that he is liable for the conduct that is attributed to him in Paragraph 140.

So the Court's going to overrule the objection, but it will ask the probation officer to add a sentence at the end of Paragraph 140 which would state that the defendant denies that he committed the conduct stated in this paragraph.

The Court's ruling with regard to the other objections that are nonguideline-determinative will be that the Court need not rule on those, and the Court will not take those factual matters into consideration as part of sentencing, either.

With that being said, Mr. Alfaro, has the Court now made any record that you might seek it to make on your objections?

MR. ALFARO: I believe with the concessions, I think that's everything, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. That being the case,

the Court is going to instruct that the sentence be added, as I just mentioned, to Paragraph 140. And then it may require some overtime by the probation officer to figure this out, but the net effect of the revisions that need to be made -- well, it's going to start, I think, in Paragraph 123, and the following groupings should be removed: 4, 5, 7, and 8.

The groupings that remain would be 1, 2, 3, and 6. That is going to have a corresponding mathematical revision that needs to be made in several paragraphs below that, I believe continuing all the way through the total, I think almost every paragraph until we get to the total offense level and including the total offense level, but I'll let you figure out which those are and then obviously we'll go over that again in a moment.

With those objections being resolved as the Court has instructed, and with those revisions to be made as I have instructed, the Court will otherwise adopt and approve the final presentence report without any other revisions or corrections.

And with that being said, the Court is now in a position to give final approval to the plea agreement in this case. The Court does so based on a finding that the offense of conviction in Count One adequately reflects the seriousness of Mr. Watts' actual criminal

behavior and conduct.

And the bottom line, Mr. Watts, that means that the sentence that the Court ultimately will impose in this case will be consistent with the plea agreement and the terms of the plea agreement that you and your attorney entered into with the government.

So with that business out of the way, I'd now like to turn more precisely to the sentencing, and we'll begin this portion of the hearing with an explanation of the factors that the Court has taken into consideration in arriving at what would be an appropriate sentence in this case.

And while the Court has taken many of these factors, or really all of these factors into consideration prior to arriving on the bench this afternoon, the Court will continue to evaluate and weigh these factors throughout the remainder of our hearing until the Court ultimately imposes sentence at the end of the hearing.

So Mr. Watts, the way that I explain the factors that the Court takes into consideration is in two parts. The starting place is the first part, which involves a calculation of the United States sentencing guidelines and then that results in a range of punishments within which the sentencing commission would

recommend that you be sentenced, and the Court must not only calculate that range, but it must also consider that as an advisory recommendation from the sentencing commission.

But because the guideline range is merely that, an advisory range or a recommended range, the Court must go to Phase 2, or the second step of the process; and at that point the Court must consider a whole host of factors that are set forth at a place in our law book known as Title 18 United States Code Section 3553(a).

And because that's kind of the chapter and verse where it's found in our code book, these factors are oftentimes referred to in a shorthand fashion as the 3553(a) factors. So if you hear me use that term, it simply means the place in our code book where they're found. And I'm going to go over what those factors are in a moment, but our analysis should begin with a calculation of the guidelines.

As we have alluded to a few moments ago in dealing with the objections, the guideline calculation appears in the final presentence report, and for that reason, and because a lot of this is extremely technical, the Court is going to adopt and incorporate by reference the calculation that appears in the final presentence report as revised a few moments ago in the

sure that you understand this.

Court's instructions, and the Court will also instruct
that a revised final presentence report be prepared.

The Court believes it is important in this instance that
that be done and that it not just appear in the
statement of reasons. But I am going to summarize the
calculation for you, Mr. Watts, because I want to make

So you can think of it this way. Every different federal crime has a corresponding section of the guidelines that we use to make the guideline calculation, and here your offense -- or for your offense we use sentencing guideline Section 2G1.3, and that calls for a base offense level of 28.

Let me back up a little bit. In order to determine the guideline range, if you think of it as a grid and a grid has two axes, one axis is known as the offense level, and the other axis is known as the criminal history category. So I've got to determine two points, and once I know those two entry points, then I simply follow where they intersect and that will give me the guideline range of punishment.

So the first entry point that we must calculate is known as the offense level, and your base offense level, because we are using Section 2G1.3 as the applicable guideline, the calculation starts at a 28.

And then we look at the manner in which the crime was committed or the characteristics of the crime that separate the commission of the crime from the more generic or base offense level, if you will, and the following special offense characteristics have been applied here.

There is a two-level enhancement because of the fact that the minors, or at least some of the minors were in your care, custody or supervisory control.

There is another two-level enhancement that has been applied in this case specifically because the defendant was approximately 31 years old and the victim was approximately 12 years old when the abuse began, and the guideline provides that if a participant unduly influenced a minor to engage in prohibited sexual conduct, then that calls for this two-level enhancement that I have stated.

And finally, there is an additional two-level enhancement because the offenses were characterized by sexual activities and contact with the minor.

So we started with a 28. There's a total of six levels of enhancements and that gets us up to a Level 34. That is with regard to Count One.

There are other counts that we look to and have to perform the same basic calculation that I have just

laid out. They all start with a base offense level of 28, and I believe that some of them -- several of them have the same special offense characteristics that I just mentioned; some don't.

The objections were made to whether some of the groups or the victims should be considered for calculation purposes or not. The long and short of it is four different groupings have been included in this calculation. The highest offense level that is achieved by any of those groupings is a 34, such as Group 1, Count One that I just explained to you.

The rules say that although we're going to calculate as a separate group the crimes committed upon each of these victims that remain at issue, we're going to use the highest adjusted offense level and so in your case the highest adjusted offense level is 34, which is Count One, or it's equal to the Count One in Group 1, all of that to say that your adjusted offense level in this case is a 34.

To that there is another adjustment that is made based on multiple counts and so you can think of it this way: Of the minor of the victims who have been included for grouping purposes, the highest adjusted offense level is a 34, but there are a total of four groupings that remain after all of the objections have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

been resolved; and under our rules -- and the calculation can be complicated, but there's actually going to be a one-level adjustment for each of those, Groups 1, 2, 3 and 6. So we add four levels to the 34. That gets us to a combined adjusted offense level of 38. So now there are some downward adjustments that you are entitled to from that point. Specifically the probation office has recommended a two-level downward adjustment for your acceptance of responsibility, and I think that that is appropriate here and that will be awarded. 12 And consistent with the terms of your plea agreement and upon motion of the government, the Court can award one further level of reduction. Mr. Roberts, what says the government? 16 MR. ROBERTS: Government so moves for the one-level reduction, your Honor. THE COURT: That motion will be granted and so you will receive, Mr. Watts, a total of three levels of It literally takes a calculator to do this, reduction. but that brings the new adjusted offense level to a 35. 22 And then we go to the next chapter of our 23 guidelines, and in this case you are going to receive a

five-level enhancement pursuant to Section 4B1.5(b), and

this has to do with both the instant offense of

1 conviction being a covered sex crime, other situations 2 not applying, and then also looking to the criminal 3 history of the defendant. Again, the enhancement is five levels. The calculation is complicated, but 4 because of those matters, it's a five-level enhancement. 5 That makes your total offense level a 40. 6 7 Mr. Alfaro, because of the complicated nature of this computation, I'm going to ask whether you concur 8 that the total offense level that we should be 9 10 calculating should be a 40. 11 MR. ALFARO: We agree, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Roberts? 13 MR. ROBERTS: I do, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. So the second input is 15 the criminal history category, and in order to determine 16 that, we look at your prior criminal history, and 17 pursuant to the scheme that's laid out in the 18 quidelines, each prior criminal history that you have 19 may or may not score points. But in your case, and for 20 the reasons explained in Paragraphs 134 through 139, you 21 score a total of nine criminal history points and that 22 places you in criminal history category IV. 23 There is another special guideline provision 24 that comes into effect that can be explained at Section

4B1.5(b), but it doesn't change your criminal history

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

23

24

25

```
category in this instance. So your criminal history
   category is a IV. There are a total of six categories
   with I being the lowest and VI being the highest and so
   you're at the upper middle end there with a criminal
   history category IV.
            So we now know those two inputs to our
   sentencing grid, and we simply follow to where those
   lines intersect. And at that point of intersection, I
   can tell you that the sentencing guidelines would
   recommend this Court sentence you as follows:
   period of incarceration of between 360 months and up to
   life imprisonment; for a period of supervised release to
   follow thereafter for a minimum of five years and up to
   life; to a fine of between $25,000 and $250,000; and to
   a special assessment in the sum of $100.
            Mr. Alfaro, do you concur that that is the
   correct calculation of the guidelines?
18
            MR. ALFARO: We do, your Honor.
19
            THE COURT: Mr. Carter (sic)?
20
            MR. ROBERTS: Same, your Honor, yes.
            THE COURT: All right. We now move to Phase 2,
22
   or the second step in the process of the Court's factors
   to consider. These are the so-called 3553(a) factors
   that I alluded to a few moments ago, Mr. Watts.
```

Those factors as pertinent here would include

such things as the Court's review and consideration of the nature and the circumstances of the offense and the method and manner that it was committed. It also involves the Court's review of your personal history, background and characteristics. It also involves the Court's consideration of other sentences that have been imposed upon other defendants who have been convicted of the same or similar crimes and who have the same or similar criminal history, with the objective being that the Court should avoid any unwarranted differences across those sentences.

Ultimately there is another factor that I think is always very important and that is this: The Court is to look at you as an individual, not as some statistic out of a book, and to custom-tailor a sentence for you which is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to achieve and fulfill the purposes for which we sentence people who are convicted of federal crimes in the first place.

So what are those purposes? Congress says that in fashioning this custom-made sentence, the Court should do so in a manner such that the sentence reflects the seriousness of the offense, so that it will promote respect for the law, so that it will serve as a deterrent to both you specifically and to the community

more broadly. A sentence should be just. A sentence should serve to protect the public from any future crimes that you might otherwise commit.

So the Court has taken into account, from all of the information that is available to it, all of the purposes of sentencing that I have just mentioned, as well as the other 3553(a) factors that I itemized for you, and I have taken those into consideration in the context of the advice that I have received from the sentencing commission and our guideline calculation.

So what we're going to do next is I'm going to ask for the attorneys for both parties to offer any sentencing recommendation or argument that they might have. I'm going to ask Mr. Roberts to go first and then I'll ask Mr. Alfaro to go second and then I'm going to give you an opportunity to make any statement that you might like to make to the Court in mitigation of the sentence that the Court might otherwise impose.

You will not be obligated to make a statement, but I want you to know that you'll be given that opportunity, and I'll certainly be glad to hear anything that you have to say.

Mr. Roberts, you may proceed.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank your Honor.

Your Honor, the government recommends that this

Court impose a life sentence on Mr. Watts. I don't do so lightly, but I believe it is clear to the Court, based on the facts before it, that Mr. Watts is the single biggest, or perhaps most prolific child molester ever presented to this Court in terms of number of victims.

I could be up here arguing the seriousness of the offense or the need to protect the public, but I think the purpose of providing a just punishment in this case is the driving factor.

The guidelines, of course, are advisory, and while I'm usually the one arguing to the Court why they should be followed, I think this is a good example why they're advisory actually because if you look at the calculation in this case, it takes individuals who have been subjected to molestation, children who have been subjected to molestation by this man over and over and over again, and it reduces them to a point, one point in a grouping. That is unacceptable. We're talking about at least four minors here.

That is not something that the guidelines can ever take into appropriate context. So the 3553(a) factors of providing a just punishment are easily supported by, in the government's perspective.

The facts are very easy. He's a

self-proclaimed pedophile. He committed sexual molestation, sexual acts on his nephew; based on his criminal history, on the nephew's friend. Moved outside the country; has committed sexual molestation of children in different countries. Ended up in the Marshall Islands, got into law enforcement there, a position of public trust, and actually got fired because he was molesting so many children.

There he came into contact with a comparatively uneducated and underprivileged part of the world, and when he was told that he was going to be removed, he brought those children, those victims, the easy prey, to America. He didn't do that for them. He did that to fulfill his own sexually deviant desires.

The relevant conduct overall shows, depending on if you go by his statement or by the victim's, either 14 or 18, approximately, victims. Outstanding.

At what point does a just punishment call for a life sentence? I would argue to this Court -- and I have argued to this Court -- that one victim's enough. I've argued that two victims is enough. I've argued that more than two is way too many. But what about five, or ten, fifteen? I've never argued something so high.

And this is not a single instance of abuse on

these minors. This is ongoing. This is something that's going to happen -- or did happen over and over and over again in their life over a period in America for four years, from 2008 to 2012, and that doesn't count what happened in the Marshall Islands.

The defendant filed a sentencing memo in this case, and in the sentencing memo, it spends a lot of time talking about how he was sexually abused as a child, two instances of sexual abuse, and that he never got past it. It turned him essentially into a pedophile. He turns around and does that to 14 children.

This man right here knows better than anybody in this room what type -- what results sexual abuse has or effects sexual abuse has on an individual. He did it to 14, by his own admission; up to 18 known to law enforcement, if you take the victims. He better than anybody should know what he was doing, but he did that for his own sexually deviant purposes.

In the sentencing memo, they reference and attach a psychosexual development, which at first I was going to object to because I wanted a shot at the doctor, but when I read it, it was, again, outstanding. Moderate to high risk of recidivism.

Their own doctor is telling you, if you release

```
this man, he's going to do it again. 2.7 times the likelihood of recidivating based on a comparison to other sex offenders. 2.7 times more likely. That is beyond anything I've read in a psychosexual development, and I know they've been presented to court in other cases, I believe by the federal defender's office, and I would submit that that is probably the highest likelihood of recidivism that this Court has ever seen.
```

The government filed sentencing memos in this case, and they stand for themself, but looking at the jail letters, I think that can't go unnoticed that when he was before the Court, he was explaining his conduct and explaining that he was a pedophile that never got treatment; but then after several convictions, after a time to reflect and consider what he did to those minors, being incarcerated for several years, he goes back to we're wrong, society is wrong for punishing him; that those minors, they should be allowed to be sexually molested by men like Mr. Watts. That is unacceptable, your Honor.

The defendant in this case pled guilty, which, I listed a lot of cases in my sentencing memo to compare this one to, and the only one I can get close to is the Tony Alamo case. That's the only one that I've ever seen that's in the Western District, ever heard of in

the Western District that involved so many minors.

True, Tony Alamo tried the case, but the defendant in this case, he confessed. This is not a case where you take this to trial. This is a case where as a defense attorney or as a defendant, you plead guilty and hope that you get a guideline sentence of 360 to life instead of just life and that gives you a hope to argue. He got the benefit of that bargain. He does not deserve another benefit for that.

Your Honor, I think I could go on and on about this case for a long time, but in summary there, at least in my mind, there are two considerations. One, providing justice for the crimes he's already committed. I think I've hit that. But also, the Court has to be aware of, or at least considerate of, the idea that there's 14 or 18 kids, depending on how you count, that's already been molested by this.

If that number ever becomes 15 or 19, that means that this justice system, that this -- that we have failed. There should never be allowed -- or it should never be allowed for this individual to victimize one more kid. Ever. No chance. And the only way to do that is a life sentence. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roberts.

Mr. Alfaro, I'm going to let you make your

statement from counsel table today. You may proceed.

THE COURT: Thank your Honor.

Your Honor, we would respectfully request a sentence of 45 years imprisonment to run concurrently with already imposed state offenses that Mr. Watts is serving, as that would be sufficient and not greater than necessary under the 3553(a) factors.

Mr. Watts has committed terrible offenses, your Honor. There's no escaping that. Mr. Watts has certainly never escaped that. I'm not sure if the government was trying to state that Mr. Watts was somehow inferring that society is wrong.

I think if you read those letters thoroughly, which I'm sure this Court has already read, he's accepted responsibility from day one. Before he was even going to be charged federally when he was arrested back in, I believe it was 2012-2013, he gave a recorded interview with law enforcement and admitted to everything that he had ever done, Judge. So from day one, he has accepted responsibility, and he has admitted his conduct, and he is remorseful for what he has done.

You know, when I first met with Mr. Watts about this and about his confession, you know, he told me something I think that's very resonating. Who would voluntarily choose to live like this, to have these

attractions, knowing that they were wrong. Who would want to be attracted to minors, knowing that they would be persecuted and being treated like a leper. "Who would want to live like that, Mr. Alfaro?" And that struck a chord with me, Judge, because that's exactly how I perceive this case.

As you read in the letters that he wrote, this isn't a choice for him. I mean, he makes the choice to succumb to these urges, but these urges, these demons are not a choice for him. It is a documented mental health disorder, pedophilia disorder, and he has been diagnosed with that, Judge.

We can see that from his letters because in the letter the government submitted to the Court, on the first page, this is a letter that Mr. Watts wrote to one of his sentencing judges in Texas: "I wish, and have wished to God for a billion times, to be normal. I fought and I fought so hard to suppress my sexual preferences with an urgency between life and death. I was unsuccessful. As a Bexar County deputy, I held my .40-caliber Glock to my head many a night. I could never pull the trigger. I wish I were brave enough and strong enough to have, though. In Bosnia, I purposely walked into an area known to be polluted with land mines."

I think that goes to show that he is remorseful, and he feels the guilt not only of who he is but what he has done.

As with all offenses, your Honor, there is a sliding scale. There is a large number of victims in totality of his case. There's four immediately, relevant conduct, and the other ones he's admitted to, your Honor.

But as other cases that we've cited in our memo, he's not using force, he's not using weapons, he's not using threats to do this conduct, and I think that's an important delineating line.

If you sentence Mr. Watts to life, what about the person who has 12, 14, 15 victims but who beats them, tortures them, holds them at knifepoint, bounds them in bondage in the basement, in addition to producing child pornography and taking pictures and doing all of those things? How do you treat that person? Because in our opinion, that person is much worse than what Mr. Watts does.

I think in the letters and in the evaluations we attached by -- from Dr. Wallace, he referred to a code of conduct, and I realize that that seems terrible, Judge, but I think it's mitigating. He said, "Look, I realize that I don't have control of myself; so I have a

```
code of conduct: I will ask these minors to engage in this conduct. I will never have them touch me, I will never anally penetrate them and never take pictures or produce pornography. If they say no, I respect that decision and don't touch them."
```

And we know that happened in the PSR. It shows that to one of the boys, Judge, that he requested and it was denied and he respected that decision, and I think that's something mitigating when you look at the way this offense can be committed.

One thing that the government and myself also pointed out was this case that we all had together, the Rivera case. And it's not a perfect comparison, Judge, but I think it touches on the point that I just made. One of the driving forces in that case was the depravity of heart that that defendant committed his crimes in the middle of the day, chasing down minors, grabbing them, reaching down by force, and Mr. Watts simply did not do anything like that.

So in that sense, his facts are mitigating, and while there weren't as many hands-on offense in Mr. Rivera's case, he was still held accountable for something like 30 victims, Judge.

Regarding Mr. Watts' history and characteristics, we will stand on the facts in our

```
1
   sentencing memo, the PSR, and the report of Dr. Wallace.
   He has been -- he had a terrible life, Judge, and the
2
   PSR shows that. Dr. Wallace at least attributed his
3
   molestation by his family member and by this male at
4
   school to the person that he has become today. And the
5
   purpose of this -- the point of me raising that is this,
6
7
   Judge:
           I think that the government's statement was
8
   somehow referring that maybe he will be let out someday
   and reoffend.
                  That is not what we're asking this Court
10
   to do.
11
            In regards to the need for just punishment,
12
   deterrence, protecting the public, in addition to
13
   allowing Mr. Watts to get rehabilitated mental health
14
   treatment, the sentence that we are requesting is
            It's not lenient.
15
   severe.
16
            To illustrate that, this case initially started
17
   out in Texas, and the offer that the U.S. Attorney's
18
   Office presented to his attorney was a 11(c)(1)(C) plea
19
   to 30 years. Of course, that was never accepted and
20
   this case was sent here, but I think it's interesting to
21
   know how one attorney's office could offer, even
22
   consider that, and another is advocating for life,
23
   Judge.
```

But the overall point that I'd like to make in

the requested sentence is he is not going to get out

24

25

anytime soon. Even if this Court were to impose the sentence requested, Mr. Watts will have to go back to Texas. He is not eligible for release -- or for parole until November 5th, 2035, which is almost about 20 years.

Even if he gets released that time, which I highly doubt, if he gets released, he's got to serve another lengthy sentence for whatever time would be remaining in the federal prison. So we're not asking for a slap on the wrist. We're not asking for him to be out in the next 10, 15, or even 20 years, Judge. So it's not like he's going to be out soon or even relatively soon, with the sentence requested.

In Texas he is going to have access to their sex offender treatment program. I've spoken with the administrators at that program. He can't be admitted until he's nearing parole eligibility. It's an 18-month program. He's housed with other offenders. He is not ever released to the public until that program is completed and he's eligible for parole, which of course he will go back to the BOP. But he's going to have access to that.

In the BOP he's going to have additional access to programs similar to that, should that be necessary.

And this Texas program, Judge, in my talking with other

attorneys, appears to be extremely reputable.

I think if you look at Mr. Watts as a person, Judge, I think you see that on the one hand, we have a person that committed terrible acts. On the other hand, you have a person who genuinely loves these minors, and I just, I disagree with the government's statement that the reason he brought these boys over was just so he could have his own pool of offenders. I don't think that was the reason, Judge. That may have been part of the reason, but I think ultimately when you're looking at Mr. Watts as a person, I think you have to see that side, that he loves these boys. He wanted to take care of them and support them any way he could, and it wasn't all about these sexual acts, Judge, and that's what Mr. Watts is hoping that you'll see.

For those reasons and the reasons in our sentencing memo, your Honor, what Mr. Watts is essentially asking for, he's asking for hope. He hopes that he can be given a chance to address his mental disorders and battle these urges. He hopes that maybe one day long from now, he can be released back into the world to live out whatever few years he has as an old man, to be a productive member of society and not reoffend. He hopes and respectfully requests that he be given that opportunity, Judge, as opposed to a sentence

```
Thank you.
1
   to death in prison.
2
            THE COURT: All right. One area of inquiry,
   Mr. Alfaro.
3
4
            MR. ALFARO: Yes, your Honor.
            THE COURT: You said he has how many years left
5
   in the Texas system?
6
7
            MR. ALFARO: I have a sheet here, Judge.
                                                       Ιf
8
   you would like me to approach, I can give you a copy.
9
   His projected release date is May 6, 2058. His parole
10
   eligibility date, which would be the earliest he could
11
   be released, would be November 5th, 2035.
                                               Before he
12
   would be released, I am told by the sex offender
13
   treatment program he would have to go through that
14
   18-month program.
15
            THE COURT: All right. So my question is this.
16
   It sounds like before he would be eligible for parole --
17
   almost 20 years left to go -- this Court has to make a
18
   decision about whether to sentence him to a specific
19
   term of months or to a life sentence.
20
            Do you have any understanding or knowledge as
21
   to whether what Texas does with him would be dependent
22
   on what this Court does? In other words, if this Court
23
   were to give him a life sentence as opposed to a term of
24
   months, might Texas say, well, we're going to cut him
25
   loose and let him serve life in the Bureau of Prisons?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. ALFARO: I do not have any idea of how that
would work.
            Given that he's already serving that
sentence, I'm not sure if they would actually do that,
Judge, but I have no answer one way or the other.
         THE COURT: All right. Do you have any
knowledge, Mr. Roberts?
         MR. ROBERTS: Based on Texas law, no, your
Honor. I can tell you that in Arkansas that is not the
customary practice. My experience is that he serves the
time in Arkansas prison. Then when he's paroled or he's
released, he rolls into federal custody.
         THE COURT: All right.
         All right. Mr. Watts, would you like to make a
statement?
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I would.
         THE COURT: All right.
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         Excuse me.
         Your Honor, I stand before you today a man who
has fully cooperated with authorities, a man who
voluntarily surrendered himself to a foreign government
when I could have very easily vanished into a number of
different countries with a new identity.
         I honestly believe not fleeing and taking
responsibility for my actions by cooperation would count
for something, your Honor. Truth be told, not
```

disappearing off the conventional grid was a difficult decision, a decision I pondered daily, but it was a decision I chose for my mother, for my brother and for, most importantly, my victims, of whom, as this was going on, they called me, contacted me via e-mail and asked what should they do. I specifically told them to cooperate with authorities.

I'm pleading with you, sir, to please allow cooperation to count for something other than the harshest punishment possible. My continued cooperation, my wanting to take responsibility for my action out of guilt and remorse has been met only with contempt, utter hatred and recrimination. Where is the incentive for cooperation? To date I have experienced none, your Honor.

I can imagine you have well too many cases of this nature cross your desk, and I can also imagine your most exasperating question is why. For the past 1,103 days, I have asked myself that same question, why. I do not know if my affliction is the symptom of my early childhood introduction to sex, but it's an affliction I would not wish upon my worst enemy. The tragic grain of truth to why is I just don't know, your Honor. If I had the answer, I would certainly say so to prevent victimization.

What I can say, however, is that I sought out help where absolutely no help exists. Not even my mother, my cousin, not my best friend heard me when I confessed -- excuse me -- when I confessed this affliction to them in my early 20s.

In a desperate search for some kind of support and early intervention, I found none. I know this means nothing now, but would things be different if this subject wasn't so taboo? There are support groups for everything under the sun meant to curb negative and damaging behaviors, but there's absolutely nothing out there for preoffenders to go to. It doesn't exist.

I don't understand why it seems that no one cares about pre- -- why no one understands about a preoffending support network where people like myself who want treatment can go for help. All anyone cares about, it seems, is incarcerating sex offenders as long as possible after the fact.

What sense does this make, your Honor? If it's even remotely possible to prevent victimization, with the advent of a preoffending support network, why does no one seem willing to invest the time and energy to establish such a thing.

Your Honor, I submitted a nine-page comprehensive preoffending support group proposal that I

honestly believe would help reduce victimization and reduce the amount of times you and other judges would have to hear cases such as this.

Your Honor, this preoffending support group proposal has been ignored and now is lost. Not a single copy can be located. Maybe the important answer to why, your Honor, can be found through early interdiction, prevention and mitigation, for this will give preoffenders like myself, when I was looking for something as this out there wanting a -- it would offer us a help -- excuse me -- it would offer us a safe option to contribute to research and development, for is it not through research and development that answers come.

Your Honor, if you are interested, I pledge before you today to personally keep you informed and updated on my attempts to establish through professional channels a preoffending rehabilitative support network. I will also, if you are interested, keep you informed of my attempts at rehabilitation.

I am willing to volunteer my body, my mind to any test, experiment and/or treatment to prove my strong desire to rehabilitate and earn a voice of support to fellow citizens suffering from this affliction, citizens who currently have no options for preoffending help.

Your Honor, will you please consider my complete and full cooperation with the government in your sentencing decision. May I respectfully request you strongly consider the sentence my attorney has proposed. I won't be final -- this sentence will give me a possible release at the age of 80 years old.

May I also respectfully request that you recommend my confinement to BOP Littleton, Colorado, a facility that specializes in sex offender treatment.

And, sir, I know actions speak louder than words. I'm hoping that you can see my remorse and my regret through my voluntary surrender to a foreign government overseas.

I thank you for your time and your consideration, your Honor. I would love the opportunity to answer any questions you may have that will assist you in your decision.

THE COURT: Well, thank you very much,

Mr. Watts. I do not believe that I have any questions

for you. The PSR in this case is quite extensive, and

much of what you say is true with regard to the fact

that you've been quite forthcoming, and all of that

information has been made available to the Court, either

in the PSR or in the other letters that have been made

reference to or in Dr. Wallace's report. So I think

between all of that, I have a really good understanding,

```
1
   but I do appreciate your very well-thought-out
2
   allocution to this Court.
3
            The Court is going to stand in recess for about
   15 minutes.
                Everyone should be back in their place by
4
   about 3:00.
5
             (Recess from 2:48 p.m. to 3:06 p.m.)
6
7
            THE COURT: All right. Mr. Watts and
8
   Mr. Alfaro, if you'd remaining standing, everyone else
9
   can be seated.
10
            This is a difficult sentencing because of the
11
   absolute seriousness of the nature of the offense and
12
   the gravity of the situation in terms of the potential
13
   sentencing range in this case. I think that this, if I
14
   can recall correctly, is probably the first time that
15
   the defense attorney has ever asked for, as a
16
   recommendation, a sentence as high as 45 years, and I
17
   think that that speaks volumes about the seriousness of
18
   this case.
19
            So we know that the guideline calculation here
20
   is 360 months to life, which, just by virtue of that
21
   large range, means that the 3553(a) factors take on such
22
   great importance here as to really control the
23
   sentencing decision.
24
            In applying the 3553(a) factors to the facts of
25
   this case -- or really I should probably say that as we
```

look at the facts of the case and apply the 3553(a) factors to them, the factors apply in almost an aggravating fashion across the board, but I believe that there are some mitigating factors, and I want to start with those.

Mr. Watts has been before other courts before and, yet, there have been additional offenses. So I don't know whether his statements and demeanor to the Court today are genuine or not. In the past it hasn't seemed to have had a whole lot of impact, at least in terms of deterrence.

But in any event, in a mitigating sense,

Mr. Watts is a very interesting defendant in the sense
that I don't know that this Court has yet encountered
someone who is as open and up front and as forthcoming
of his current crimes for which he is being sentenced,
as well as past offenses, and as well as his personal
affliction. So that's something that you don't see very
often.

The Court also would find as mitigating -- I'm not sure how far this goes, but he certainly didn't have the best of upbringings. There's at least a couple of encounters in his very early, formative years that may or may not account for or explain who he developed into as he grew older.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court finds that in certain respects, the report of Dr. Wallace is mitigating in the sense that Dr. Wallace has diagnosed Mr. Watts with psychological disorder. He has been diagnosed with a known disorder under the DSM known as pedophiliac disorder, and Dr. Wallace is a person who has appeared and given testimony to this Court. He is trained and experienced in this field, and the Court has been well informed by his evaluation and his report, and Dr. Wallace's finding, the Court -- or his diagnosis the Court very much believes. And so to the extent that there's a psychological explanation behind some of the conduct, the Court can at least recognize that it is a psychological disorder as opposed to some more evil-hearted or sociopathic causation.

The Court has received a letter from Mr. Watts' friend, from I understand the Middle East, and the friend states that he knows and understands the charges that Mr. Watts faced and has been convicted of and nonetheless, he remains supportive of his friend. And he makes a few points and tells a few stories about how there is more to Mr. Watts than what he is charged with and what he has been convicted of. And the Court has no reason not to believe that, and the Court finds as mitigating that it must recognize that Mr. Watts is not

completely defined by his conviction in this case.

The Court also finds as mitigating the fact that in a very unique way, at least unique in the sense that it is more profound than a lot of cases, Mr. Watts has accepted responsibility. He's tried to be as open and forthcoming about his predilections and the causes for them. He's volunteered his body and his brain for study, and he's fully acknowledged his wrongdoing and so on a scale of accepting responsibility, the Court finds that that is extremely mitigating. And to Mr. Watts' point, his cooperation extended to not resisting being brought -- coming back voluntarily to the United States to face up to these crimes.

So after having read all of the presentence report, having read the sentencing memorandums and the attachments to the sentencing memorandums, the letters, Dr. Wallace's report, I initially thought that I was going to have a very difficult time coming up with many mitigating facts, but as I thought through it more, I think that there are several things that the Court can take into account as mitigating as it looks to the 3553(a) factors. And for the most part, these go to mitigate the nature and circumstances of certain edges of the offense; in other respects they go to the defendant's personal background, history and

characteristics.

But obviously there are aggravating circumstances here, and in looking at the 3553(a) factors and the facts of the case as set forth in the PSR and other materials that I just mentioned that the Court has reviewed, the 3553(a) factors can really be viewed in an aggravating light to almost every single factor and every single purpose of sentencing.

The offense of conviction, combined with the relevant conduct, which adds up to something in the range of I think 14 documented victims -- and the PSR would indicate that there are likely others but they couldn't take a statement from them -- I think in terms of hands-on sexual activity is probably, if not absolutely the most number of separate hands-on victims of any defendant that this Court has ever sentenced.

Mr. Alfaro makes the point that, "Well, Judge, it could be worse. He could have, you know, held them down, tied them up, put a gun to their head, put a knife to their throat, and he didn't do any of that. He always asked permission." Okay. But the fact remains that despite the concept that it could have been worse, it is extraordinarily bad just as face value. And not only is it bad, Mr. Watts, but it quite possibly is the worst overall conduct that this Court has faced

involving sex trafficking or sexual offenses against minors.

So the nature and circumstances of the offense, although we can soften some of the edges based on the mitigating things that I said, is still extraordinarily serious and viewed in a very aggravating sense.

As to your personal history, background and characteristics, I think many of the factors that I mentioned earlier that weigh in a mitigating way, those all come in under the factor of your personal history and background. But, of course, criminal history comes in there as well, and you do have a rather significant criminal history.

And, you know, a lot of times this Court sees first-time offenders, and the Court kind of almost always, unless there's some good reason not to, is at least inclined to cut a first-time offender a break and then the more times that they come back, there's a direct correlation between the Court's willingness to cut them any slack. And given your criminal history, given that you find yourself as a criminal history category IV, there just isn't any room to give you any consideration for mitigating or lack of a criminal history. Your criminal history is very well established and is viewed in a very aggravating sense.

With regard to the need to avoid sentencing disparity, the Court has gone back and reviewed sentences in cases before this Court involving sex offenses against children, sex trafficking, and I realized that I had not ever sentenced anyone to life in terms of that term "life" before. The Court has imposed sentences in terms of years, which, combined with their age effectively meant that they would be in prison for the rest of their life, but the Court has never actually, that I can recall for a sex offense, ever sentenced someone to life.

But of the most severe of those that the Court has sentenced to significant, very significant terms of years that would be a proxy for their life, your conduct and the nature and circumstances of this case calls for greater punishment than anyone else with regard to similar types of crimes, or in the same categorical types of crimes that this Court has sentenced, and the Court cannot lose sight of that.

Now, I would also say that your own attorney is advocating for a sentence of 45 years, and that's probably towards the very top but not the most that the Court has ever sentenced anyone to as it is.

And when we get to the other factor of what is just, what is a sentence that would be sufficient, but

not greater than necessary to fulfill all of the purposes of sentencing, and when we look at those individual purposes, we start with the fact that a sentence should be individualized, and it should reflect, among other things, the seriousness of the offense. I've already spoken at length about how I view the seriousness of the offense and so, therefore, taking that purpose into consideration, the sentence should be towards the maximum possibility.

When the Court looks at the need to provide just punishment, I think I interpret just punishment to mean a punishment that fits the crime. Sometimes the guidelines are out of whack with what is just, what is appropriate for the crime and so, you know, the guideline range in certain situations can ring up a really high number, and when you step back and look at it, that's just not just; that is not in context; that is not appropriate for the offense.

In this case certainly the guideline range of 360 months to life would be a just punishment, and for the same reasons that I have explained a few moments ago, a just punishment in this case would be at the very upper end of that range, including a life sentence.

That is just what would be appropriate, given the extent of the criminal activities that have occurred here.

There's a factor of promoting respect for the law. You know, I'm not sure what to make of that purpose here. Mr. Watts, in his attitude and demeanor and acceptance of responsibility, has portrayed a person who in some respects has respect for the law. His argument is that he understands the law is there. To a certain extent he disagrees with it. He thinks that boys, young boys should be able to make these decisions on their own; but for the most part, he recognizes that the law is there and his defense is more or less that "it's an addiction and I just can't help myself; I just try to be polite and appropriate when I engage in this activity."

I don't know that that, at the end of the day, represents respect for the law, but that is not one of

represents respect for the law, but that is not one of the Court's greater concerns. I think deterrence is a concern of the Court. Obviously this repetition of activity the Court is greatly concerned about and, thus, the punishment has to adequately reflect deterrence. But at the same time, the Court has to temper that conclusion with the fact that Mr. Watts is a pedophile, and I don't know that any sentence that the Court imposes would ever deter him from his predilections.

I think ultimately knowing that we are at the upper end of the guideline range and that the guideline

range is 360 months to life, and having said all that I've said about each of the other factors that it comes down to, when the Court comes down to the decision of whether it's going to impose a very significant term of months or whether it is going to impose life, it comes down to what is just that I've already mentioned, and finally I think it comes down to the need for the sentence that this Court imposes to protect the public from Mr. Watts.

And I understand that Mr. Watts has this view that he's polite about this, that he always asks, but minors can't consent, and I think you fail to recognize that. And if it weren't for your nephew, the Court wonders how many other young boys that you would have victimized.

The Court is extraordinarily well persuaded that if you are given an opportunity, you will continue to offend, and the Court is compelled in its final conclusion that that tips the final grains on the sand and suggests that the Court should impose the ultimate punishment option that is available to it in this case, which is a life sentence.

Therefore, for those reasons, and after having considered the 3553(a) factors, as the Court has explained, Mr. Watts, it is the judgment of this Court

that you are to be committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of life on Count One of the indictment.

The Court will make some recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons, oddly recognizing that the first opportunity that the designations division of the Bureau of Prisons will have to look at these recommendations, both the designator and this Court will likely be retired by then, which is kind of odd. But in any event, to the extent that someone at the Bureau of Prisons has been notified that you are transferring over to them from the Texas state department of prisons, the Court hopes that they would follow these recommendations.

First of all, to the extent consistent with Mr. Watts' classification and the Bureau of Prisons evaluation, the Court would recommend that Mr. Watts serve his time at its facility in Littleton, Colorado. The Court does so on Mr. Watts' request and based on Mr. Watts' representation that there is a sexual treatment unit at Littleton, Colorado. I don't know personally whether that's true or not or whether it will be true or not in 20 years. So if that happens to not be the case, the Court's alternative recommendation would surely include that he be placed at a facility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where he would be offered treatment for his diagnosed psychological condition.

Along those lines, the Court, in its recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons -- and I'll try to think about how to better articulate this when we write the commitment paperwork, but conceptually the recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons is going to be that they flag your paperwork, and when you are designated to the Bureau of Prisons that they send your files to the most senior person within the Bureau of Prisons that is responsible for the empirical investigation of sex offenders. And what treatment modalities work, what treatment modalities do not that in effect, that in this Court's estimation, given your expressed willingness to participate in such programs or to voluntarily submit yourself to such evaluations that you are uniquely positioned to be of value for empirical study and investigative study and research and certainly would benefit from any therapy modalities that might be available as well.

So as I said, I will try to articulate that concept more precisely, but to the extent that they have a need for or are doing those types of studies, I'll ask that you be flagged for participation in any such long-term studies.

Given that the Court has imposed a life sentence, it will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has assessed the defendant's ability to pay a guideline range fine and is persuaded that the defendant does not have the present ability to pay a guideline range fine. However, the Court is also well persuaded that Mr. Watts is fully capable of being employed while he is incarcerated in the Bureau of Prisons, and from those earnings he will be able to make payments towards a fine, and for those reasons the Court is going to impose a fine in this case in the sum of \$20,000.

The Court is also going to impose a mandatory special assessment in the sum of \$100.

Mr. Watts, technically the fine and the special assessment, which I refer to collectively as financial penalties, are due and payable immediately, but to the extent that you cannot pay all of that amount immediately, you will be required to make payments on the following schedule.

Any unpaid financial penalty shall be paid by the defendant during his term of imprisonment at a rate of up to 50 percent of the defendant's available funds, in accordance with the Inmate Financial Responsibility

```
1
   Program.
2
            That is the Court's intended sentence in this
3
          Mr. Alfaro, do you know of any reason why this
   case.
4
   sentence should not be imposed as stated?
5
            MR. ALFARO:
                          No, your Honor.
            THE COURT: Mr. Roberts?
6
7
            MR. ROBERTS: No, your Honor.
8
            THE COURT:
                         Very well. The sentence will be
9
   imposed as stated.
10
            Mr. Roberts, I believe there are some remaining
11
   counts of the indictment. What should the Court make of
12
   those?
13
            MR. ROBERTS: The government would move to
14
   dismiss the remaining counts, your Honor.
15
            THE COURT: That motion will be granted.
16
            Mr. Watts, the last thing we need to cover
17
   today is that I need to explain to you your appeal
18
   riahts.
            You technically have the right to appeal your
19
   underlying conviction in this case, but the
20
   circumstances where you could do that are fairly narrow.
21
   It would have to be a situation where you contend that
22
   your guilty plea was involuntary or somehow a byproduct
23
   of a fundamental defect in the proceedings which have
24
   not otherwise been waived by your guilty plea.
25
            Of course, you do have the right to appeal the
```

sentence that the Court just imposed if you contend that it is procedurally or legally improper.

The important thing that you should be aware of is that regardless of why you appeal, or on what basis you may seek to appeal, there's a time limit for filing your notice of appeal. The time limit is 14 days. The time begins to run on the date that the Court's paper judgment of conviction is uploaded to the docket in your case, which will likely be one day at the first part of next week.

There is a filing fee that goes along with the filing of a notice of appeal, but if you cannot afford to pay the filing fee, then upon application, the Court will waive that fee.

The clerk of the court has forms and can assist you in filing those forms as necessary to perfect your notice of appeal and will do so upon, as I said, request.

You also have the right to be represented by counsel on appeal, and if you cannot afford to retain an attorney for that purpose, the Court will appoint an attorney to represent you.

I know that Mr. Alfaro will speak with you and counsel you further with regard to appeal issues, but do you at least understand your basic appeal rights as I've

```
1
   just explained them?
2
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3
            THE COURT: At the conclusion of our hearing,
   the defendant will be remanded to the custody of the
4
5
   United States Marshals Service pending transfer to the
   Bureau of Prisons or to the institution that he may be
6
7
   writted from.
8
            Mr. Watts, as I began the explanation of the
9
   Court's sentence today, I explained that it was very
10
   difficult and obviously more difficult for you than for
11
   me, but I want you to know that I have given
12
   considerable thought, and based on all of the
13
   information available to me, and based upon all the
14
   factors that I am required to take into consideration
   that, while difficult, it is a sentence that the Court
15
16
   felt was just and appropriate.
17
            Is there anything further today, Mr. Roberts?
18
            MR. ROBERTS: No, your Honor. Thank you.
19
            THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Alfaro?
20
            MR. ALFARO:
                          No, your Honor.
21
            THE COURT:
                         We're adjourned.
22
             (Proceedings adjourned at 3:38 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, Dana Hayden, Federal Official Realtime Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated this 22nd day of <u>June 2016</u>.

Dana Hayden, CCR, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter

| ¢ | 3 | 72703 [1] - 1:20 | additional [3] - 16:18, | 9:1, 9:19, 11:23, |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| \$ | 3 | 72902 [1] - 1:15 | 33:23, 42:7 | 19:11, 20:18, 35:4, |
| \$100 [2] - 20:15, 53:15 | 3 [3] - 7:1, 12:8, 18:4 | 753 [1] - 57:6 | address [1] - 34:19 | 35:7, 36:1, 54:5, |
| \$20,000 [1] - 53:13 | 30 [2] - 31:23, 32:19 | | adds [1] - 45:10 | 56:20 |
| \$25,000 [1] - 20:14 | 31 [1] - 16:12 | 8 | adequately [2] - | alleged [1] - 3:10 |
| \$250,000 [1] - 20:14 | 32 [1] - 10:13 | O | 12:24, 49:19 | allocution [1] - 41:2 |
| \$250,000 [1] - 20.14 | 34 [6] - 16:23, 17:10, | 8 [2] - 6:25, 12:7 | adjourned [2] - 56:21, | allow [1] - 37:8 |
| 1 | 17:16, 17:19, 17:24, | 80 [1] - 40:6 | 56:22 | allowed [3] - 26:18, |
| | 18:5 | 55[1] | adjusted [6] - 17:15, | 27:20, 27:21 |
| 1 [5] - 9:8, 12:8, 17:10, | 35 [2] - 1:24, 18:21 | Α | 17:16, 17:18, 17:23, | allowing [1] - 32:13 |
| 17:17, 18:4 | 3553(a [12] - 14:14, | | 18:6, 18:21 | alluded [2] - 14:19, |
| 1,103 [1] - 37:18 | 20:23, 22:7, 23:22, | ability [2] - 53:4, 53:6 | adjustment [3] - | 20:24 |
| 10 [1] - 33:11 | 28:7, 41:21, 41:24, | able [4] - 4:8, 5:19, | 17:20, 18:3, 18:9 | almost [6] - 12:12, |
| 11(c)(1)(C [1] - 32:18 | 42:1, 44:22, 45:3, | 49:8, 53:10 | adjustments [3] - | 33:4, 35:17, 42:2, |
| 12 [3] - 3:14, 16:13, | 45:6, 50:24 | above-entitled [1] - | 6:11, 18:7 | 45:7, 46:15 |
| 30:14 | 3553(a) [1] - 14:10 | 57:9 | administrators [1] - | alternative [1] - 51:24 |
| 123 [1] - 12:6 | 360 [5] - 20:11, 27:6, | absolute [1] - 41:11 | 33:16 | AMERICA [1] - 1:3 |
| 13 [1] - 1:10 | 41:20, 48:20, 50:1 | absolutely [3] - 38:2, | admission [1] - 25:16 | America [2] - 24:13, |
| 134 [1] - 19:20 | 3739 [1] - 1:19 | 38:11, 45:15 | admitted [4] - 28:18, | 25:3 |
| 139 [1] - 19:20 | 38 [1] - 18:6 | abuse [5] - 16:13, | 28:20, 30:7, 33:16 | amount [3] - 6:15, |
| 14 [7] - 24:17, 25:11, | 3:00 [1] - 41:5 | 24:25, 25:9, 25:14, | adopt [2] - 12:19, | 39:2, 53:19 |
| 25:16, 27:16, 30:14, | 3:06 [1] - 41:6 | 25:15 | 14:23 | anally [1] - 31:3 |
| 45:11, 55:6 | 3:38 [1] - 56:22 | abused [1] - 25:8 | advent [1] - 38:21 | analysis [1] - 14:17 |
| 140 [8] - 7:10, 7:11, | 3.36 [1] - 30.22 3rd [1] - 4:11 | acceptance [2] - | advice [1] - 22:9 | answer [5] - 5:3, 36:4, |
| 7:13, 9:18, 11:6, | 31 u [1] - 4.11 | 18:10, 49:4 | advisory [4] - 14:3, | 37:24, 39:6, 40:15 |
| 11:9, 11:12, 12:2 | 4 | accepted [6] - 3:21, | 14:6, 23:11, 23:14 | answered [1] - 5:10 |
| 15 [4] - 27:18, 30:14, | 4 | 28:15, 28:20, 32:19, | advocating [2] - | answers [1] - 39:13 |
| 33:11, 41:4 | 4 [2] - 6:25, 12:7 | 44:5 | 32:22, 47:21 | anytime [1] - 33:1 |
| 1524 [1] - 1:14 | 40 [2] - 19:6, 19:10 | accepting [1] - 44:9 | affect [2] - 10:4, 10:15 | apologize [2] - 7:20, |
| 16 [5] - 7:8, 7:25, 8:2, | 40-caliber [1] - 29:21 | access [3] - 33:14, | affliction [5] - 37:20, | 8:7 |
| 8:22, 9:17 | 414 [1] - 1:14 | 33:22, 33:23 | 37:21, 38:5, 39:24, | appeal [11] - 54:17, |
| 17 [1] - 4:6 | 442-2306 [1] - 1:20 | accordance [1] - | 42:18 | 54:18, 54:25, 55:4, |
| 18 [4] - 14:10, 24:17, | 45 [3] - 28:4, 41:16, | 53:25 | afford [2] - 55:12, | 55:5, 55:6, 55:12, |
| 25:16, 27:16 | 47:21 | account [3] - 22:4, | 55:20 | 55:17, 55:20, 55:24, |
| 18-month [2] - 33:17, | 479 [1] - 1:20 | 42:24, 44:21 | afternoon [3] - 2:7, | 55:25 |
| 35:14 | 479-441-0578 [1] - | accountable [1] - | 2:8, 13:16 | appear [1] - 15:4 |
| 19 [2] - 7:13, 27:18 | 1:16 | 31:22 | age [3] - 3:13, 40:6, | appeared [2] - 3:15, |
| 1:42 [1] - 1:10 | 479-783-5125 [1] - | achieve [1] - 21:17 | 47:8 | 43:6 |
| [.] | 1:15 | achieved [1] - 17:9 | aggravating [5] - 42:3, | applicable [1] - 15:25 |
| 2 | 4B1.5(b [2] - 18:24, | acknowledged [1] - | 45:2, 45:7, 46:6, | application [1] - 55:13 |
| _ | 19:25 | 44:8 | 46:25 | applied [2] - 16:6, |
| 2 [5] - 7:1, 12:8, 14:7, | | action [1] - 37:11 | ago [5] - 9:4, 14:19, | 16:11 |
| 18:4, 20:21 | 5 | actions [2] - 36:24, | 14:25, 20:24, 48:22 | apply [2] - 42:1, 42:2 |
| 2.7 [2] - 26:1, 26:3 | | 40:10 | agree [2] - 9:3, 19:11 | applying [2] - 19:2, |
| 20 [4] - 33:4, 33:11, | 5 [2] - 6:25, 12:7 | activities [5] - 3:11, | agreement [5] - 3:23, | 41:24 |
| 35:17, 51:23 | 50 [1] - 53:24 | 3:13, 3:20, 16:20, | 12:22, 13:4, 13:5, | appoint [1] - 55:21 |
| 2008 [1] - 25:4 | 5:15-CR-50080 [2] - | 48:25 | 18:13 | appreciate [2] - 5:18, |
| 2012 [1] - 25:4 | 1:5, 2:3 | activity [3] - 45:14, | Alamo [2] - 26:24, | 41:1 |
| 2012-2013 [1] - 28:17 | 5th [2] - 33:4, 35:11 | 49:13, 49:18 | 27:2 | approach [1] - 35:8 |
| 2016 [2] - 1:10, 57:12 | | acts [3] - 24:2, 34:4, | Alfaro [22] - 1:18, 2:5, | appropriate [9] - 3:5, |
| 2035 [2] - 33:4, 35:11 | 6 | 34:14 | 2:22, 4:22, 5:6, 5:24, | 13:11, 18:11, 23:22, |
| 2058 [1] - 35:9 | | actual [1] - 12:25 | 6:20, 7:5, 9:16, | 48:14, 48:18, 48:24, |
| 20s [1] - 38:5 | 6 [6] - 3:15, 7:1, 9:9, | add [2] - 11:11, 18:5 | 10:11, 11:20, 19:7, | 49:12, 56:16 |
| 24 [1] - 2:17 | 12:9, 18:4, 35:9 | added [1] - 12:2 | 20:16, 22:15, 27:25, | approval [2] - 3:23, |
| 28 [5] - 15:13, 15:25, | 6th [1] - 4:4 | addendum [7] - 4:14, | 29:4, 35:3, 41:8, | 12:22 |
| 16:21, 17:2, 57:6 | | 4:20, 5:1, 5:8, 5:13, | 45:17, 54:3, 55:23, | approve [1] - 12:19 |
| 280 [1] - 1:19 | 7 | 9:10 | 56:19 | April [1] - 4:4 |
| 2:48 [1] - 41:6 | - 005 105 | addiction [1] - 49:11 | ALFARO [19] - 5:11, | AR [1] - 1:20 |
| 2G1.3 [2] - 15:12, | 7 [2] - 6:25, 12:7 | addition [3] - 5:12, | 5:25, 7:6, 7:12, 7:20, | area [2] - 29:24, 35:2 |
| 15:24 | 72701 [1] - 1:25 | 30:16, 32:12 | 8:1, 8:6, 8:11, 8:19, | argue [3] - 7:7, 24:19, |
| | | | | |

axis [2] - 15:16, 15:17

В

background [4] -21:5, 44:25, 46:7, 46.11 bad [2] - 45:23, 45:24 bargain [1] - 27:8 base [4] - 15:13, 15:23, 16:4, 17:1 based [10] - 12:23, 17:21, 23:3, 24:2, 26:2, 36:7, 46:4, 51:19, 56:12, 56:13 basement [1] - 30:16 basic [2] - 16:25, 55:25 basis [1] - 55:4 battle [1] - 34:20 beats [1] - 30:14 become [1] - 32:5 becomes [1] - 27:18 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:9 began [2] - 16:13, 56:8 begin [3] - 3:6, 13:9, 14:17 begins [1] - 55:7 behalf [3] - 2:4, 2:5, behavior [1] - 13:1 behaviors [1] - 38:11 behind [1] - 43:12 believes [2] - 15:3, 43:11 below [1] - 12:11 bench [1] - 13:15 benefit [3] - 27:8, 27:9, 52:19 best [2] - 38:3, 42:22 better [3] - 25:13, 25:17, 52:5 between [5] - 20:11, 20:14, 29:19, 40:25, 46:19 Bexar [1] - 29:20 beyond [1] - 26:4 biggest [1] - 23:4 billion [1] - 29:17 bit [2] - 6:22, 15:14 Blvd [1] - 1:19 board [1] - 42:3 body [2] - 39:21, 44:7 bondage [1] - 30:16 book [4] - 14:9, 14:12, 14:15, 21:15 BOP [5] - 10:4, 10:18,

33:21, 33:23, 40:8

Bosnia [1] - 29:23

bottom [1] - 13:2

bounds [1] - 30:15 Box [1] - 1:14 boys [6] - 31:7, 34:7, 34:12, 49:8, 50:14 brain [1] - 44:7 brave [1] - 29:22 break [1] - 46:17 brief [1] - 3:6 briefly [1] - 9:20 brings [2] - 3:7, 18:21 broadly [1] - 22:1 BROOKS [1] - 1:9 brother [1] - 37:3 brought [3] - 24:12, 34:7, 44:12 Brown [1] - 2:6 Bureau [12] - 35:25, 51:2, 51:5, 51:6, 51:10, 51:16, 52:4, 52:7, 52:9, 52:10, 53:9, 56:6 business [1] - 13:7 byproduct [1] - 54:22

C

calculate [3] - 14:2, 15:22, 17:13 calculating [1] - 19:10 calculation [16] -13:23, 14:18, 14:20, 14:24, 15:6, 15:11, 15:25, 16:25, 17:7, 17:9, 18:2, 19:4, 20:17, 22:10, 23:15, 41:19 calculator [1] - 18:20 cannot [4] - 47:19, 53:19, 55:12, 55:20 capable [1] - 53:8 care [2] - 16:9, 34:12 cares [2] - 38:14, 38:16 Carter [1] - 20:19 CASE [1] - 1:5 case [51] - 2:2, 2:3, 2:15, 3:7, 4:22, 6:13, 11:1, 11:25, 12:23, 13:4, 13:12, 16:11, 17:16, 17:19, 18:23, 19:19, 23:10, 23:15, 25:7, 26:10, 26:21, 26:24, 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 27:11, 29:6, 30:6, 31:12, 31:13, 31:15, 31:22, 32:16, 32:20, 40:19, 41:13, 41:18, 41:25, 42:1, 44:1, 45:4, 47:15, 48:19, 48:22, 50:21,

51:24, 53:12, 54:3, 54:19, 55:9 cases [8] - 6:12, 26:6, 26:22, 30:9, 37:16, 39:3, 44:4, 47:3 categorical [1] - 47:17 categories [1] - 20:2 category [7] - 15:18, 19:15, 19:22, 20:1, 20:2, 20:5, 46:22 **causation** [1] - 43:15 causes [1] - 44:6 causing [1] - 2:19 CCR [2] - 1:23, 57:17 certain [6] - 6:18, 6:21, 43:1, 44:23, 48:15, 49:7 certainly [6] - 22:21, 28:10, 37:24, 42:21, 48:19, 52:18 CERTIFICATE [1] -57:1 certify [1] - 57:5 chance [2] - 27:22, 34:19 change [1] - 19:25 channels [1] - 39:18 chapter [2] - 14:11, 18:22 characteristics [7] -16:2, 16:5, 17:3, 21:5, 31:25, 45:1, 46.8 characterized [1] -16:19 charge [3] - 7:9, 9:20, 10:6 charged [3] - 3:18, 28:16, 43:22 charges [1] - 43:18 chasing [1] - 31:17 child [5] - 3:13, 6:12, 23:4, 25:9, 30:17 childhood [1] - 37:21 children [6] - 23:16, 24:5, 24:8, 24:12, 25:12, 47:4 choice [3] - 29:8, 29:10 choose [1] - 28:25 chord [1] - 29:5 chose [1] - 37:3 circumstances [6] -21:2, 44:23, 45:3, 46:3, 47:15, 54:20 cited [1] - 30:9 citizens [2] - 39:24 claiming [1] - 9:10 clarification [1] - 9:14

clarify [1] - 9:8

classification [1] -51:16 classify [1] - 8:6 clean [1] - 7:22 clear [1] - 23:2 clearing [1] - 8:7 clerk [1] - 55:15 close [2] - 5:18, 26:23 clouding [1] - 2:19 Code [2] - 14:10, 57:7 code [4] - 14:12, 14:15, 30:23, 31:1 collectively [1] - 53:17 Colorado [3] - 40:8, 51:18, 51:21 combined [3] - 18:6, 45:9, 47:7 coming [2] - 44:12, 44:18 commission [4] -13:25, 14:4, 16:3, 22:10 commit [1] - 22:3 commitment [1] - 52:6 committed [12] -11:13, 16:2, 17:13, 21:3, 24:1, 24:4, 27:13, 28:8, 31:10, 31:16, 34:4, 51:1 community [1] - 21:25 comparatively [1] -24:9 compare [1] - 26:22 comparison [2] - 26:2, compelled [1] - 50:18 complete [1] - 40:2 completed [1] - 33:20 completely [1] - 44:1 complicated [3] -18:2, 19:4, 19:7 comprehensive [1] -38:25 computation [1] -19:8 concede [1] - 8:23 conceded [3] - 6:18, 8:4, 8:17 conceding [1] - 10:20 concept [2] - 45:22, 52:22 conceptually [1] -52:6 concern [1] - 49:17 concerned [1] - 49:18 concerns [1] - 49:16 concession [2] - 6:24, 7:1 concessions [2] - 9:3, 11:23

13:16, 13:18, 13:21,

14:1, 14:6, 14:8,

conclusion [3] -49:21, 50:19, 56:3 concur [2] - 19:8, 20:16 concurrently [1] -28:4 condition [1] - 52:2 conduct [16] - 11:8, 11:13, 13:1, 16:16, 24:15, 26:12, 28:21, 30:7, 30:11, 30:23, 31:1, 31:2, 43:12, 45:10, 45:25, 47:14 Conference [1] -57:11 confessed [3] - 27:3, 38:4 confession [1] - 28:23 confinement [1] - 40:8 confirm [2] - 4:21, 5:6 conformance [1] -57:10 congress [1] - 21:20 consent [1] - 50:12 consequence [1] -2.14 consider [7] - 14:2, 14:8, 20:23, 26:15, 32:22, 40:1, 40:4 considerable [1] -56:12 considerate [1] -27:15 consideration [11] -11:18, 13:10, 13:15, 13:21, 21:1, 21:6, 22:8, 40:14, 46:23, 48:8, 56:14 considerations [1] -27:12 considered [5] -10:16, 10:22, 11:1, 17:6, 50:24 consistent [3] - 13:4, 18:12, 51:15 consumed [1] - 2:18 contact [2] - 16:20, 24.9 contacted [1] - 37:5 contained [1] - 9:23 contempt [1] - 37:12 contend [2] - 54:21, 55:1 contents [2] - 3:24, 4:25 contesting [2] - 9:24, 10:8 context [3] - 22:9, 23:22, 48:17 continue [2] - 13:16,

50:17 continued [1] - 37:10 continuing [1] - 12:11 contribute [1] - 39:12 control [3] - 16:9, 30:25, 41:22 conventional [1] -37:1 convicted [4] - 21:7, 21:18, 43:19, 43:23 conviction [7] - 2:14, 12:24, 19:1, 44:1, 45:9, 54:19, 55:8 convictions [1] -26:14 cooperate [1] - 37:7 cooperated [1] - 36:19 cooperation [6] -36:24, 37:9, 37:10, 37:14, 40:2, 44:11 copy [2] - 35:8, 39:6 correct [13] - 2:23, 2:24, 6:5, 6:24, 7:4, 7:6, 7:12, 8:5, 8:18, 8:19, 9:1, 20:17, corrections [1] - 12:20 correctly [1] - 41:14 correlation [1] - 46:19 corresponding [2] -12:9, 15:9 counsel [3] - 28:1, 55:20, 55:24 count [8] - 3:9, 6:10, 6:11, 25:5, 27:16, 36:24, 37:9 Count [7] - 3:17, 12:24, 16:23, 17:11, 17:17, 51:3 countries [2] - 24:5, 36:22 country [1] - 24:4 counts [6] - 3:10, 3:18, 16:24, 17:21, 54:11, 54:14 County [1] - 29:20 couple [1] - 42:22 course [5] - 23:11, 32:19, 33:20, 46:11, 54:25 court [3] - 11:5, 26:5, 55:15 Court [121] - 1:24, 2:1, 2:10, 2:13, 3:15, 5:13, 5:16, 6:16, 8:22, 8:23, 9:7, 9:15, 10:14, 11:3, 11:17, 11:20, 12:1, 12:17, 12:18, 12:21, 12:23, 13:3, 13:10, 13:13,

14:23, 15:1, 15:3, 18:13, 20:10, 21:10, 21:13, 21:21, 22:4, 22:17, 22:18, 23:1, 23:2, 23:5, 23:12, 24:19. 24:20. 26:8. 26:12, 27:14, 28:14, 29:14, 32:9, 33:1, 35:17, 35:22, 40:22, 41:2, 41:3, 42:9, 42:14, 42:20, 43:1, 43:7, 43:8, 43:10, 43:13, 43:16, 43:23, 43:24, 44:2, 44:9, 44:20, 45:6, 45:16, 45:25, 46:14, 46:15, 47:2, 47:3, 47:6, 47:9, 47:12, 47:18, 47:19, 47:23, 48:10, 49:17, 49:18, 49:20, 49:22, 50:3, 50:8, 50:13, 50:16, 50:18, 50:20, 50:24, 50:25, 51:4, 51:8, 51:13, 51:17, 51:19, 52:3, 53:1, 53:4, 53:7, 53:11, 53:14, 54:11, 55:1, 55:13, 55:21, 56:15, 57:3, 57:4, 57:17 COURT [49] - 1:1, 2:1, 2:9, 2:17, 2:22, 2:25, 3:3, 3:5, 4:25, 5:3, 5:6, 5:12, 5:24, 6:1, 6:7, 7:11, 7:16, 7:21, 8:2, 8:10, 8:14, 8:20, 9:2, 9:13, 9:16, 10:11, 10:24, 11:25, 18:18, 19:12, 19:14, 20:19, 20:21, 27:24, 28:2, 35:2, 35:5, 35:15, 36:5, 36:12, 36:16, 40:17, 41:7, 54:6, 54:8, 54:15, 56:3, 56:19, 56:21 Court's [17] - 5:22, 9:3, 11:2, 11:10, 11:15, 15:1, 20:22, 21:1, 21:4, 21:6, 46:19, 49:16, 51:24, 52:14, 54:2, 55:7, 56:9 courts [1] - 42:6 cousin [1] - 38:3 cover [1] - 54:16 covered [1] - 19:1 crime [7] - 15:9, 16:2, 16:3, 19:1, 48:12,

48:14 crimes [10] - 17:13, 21:8, 21:18, 22:3, 27:13, 31:16, 42:16, 44:13, 47:17, 47:18 criminal [21] - 3:19, 12:25, 15:18, 19:2, 19:15, 19:16, 19:18, 19:21, 19:22, 19:25, 20:1, 20:4, 21:9, 24:3, 46:11, 46:13, 46:20, 46:21, 46:23. 46:24, 48:25 cross [1] - 37:17 **crossing** [1] - 3:12 CRR [2] - 1:23, 57:17 curb [1] - 38:10 current [1] - 42:16 custody [4] - 16:9, 36:11, 51:1, 56:4 custom [2] - 21:15, 21:21 custom-made [1] -21:21 custom-tailor [1] -21:15 customary [1] - 36:9 cut [3] - 35:24, 46:17, 46:20

D

daily [1] - 37:2 damaging [1] - 38:11 Dana [3] - 1:23, 57:3, 57:17 danger [1] - 10:5 date [4] - 35:9, 35:10, 37:14, 55:7 Dated [1] - 57:12 days [3] - 6:17, 37:19, 55:6 dealing [1] - 14:20 death [2] - 29:19, 35:1 decision [11] - 11:2, 31:5, 31:8, 35:18, 37:2, 37:3, 40:3, 40:16, 41:23, 50:3 decisions [1] - 49:8 defect [1] - 54:23 defendant [17] - 1:7, 6:9, 9:5, 11:7, 11:13, 16:12, 19:3, 25:6, 26:21, 27:3, 27:5, 31:16, 42:13, 45:16, 53:6, 53:23, 56:4 DEFENDANT [13] -1:17, 2:8, 2:16, 2:21, 2:24, 3:2, 3:4, 4:24,

5:2, 5:5, 36:15,

36:17, 56:2 defendant's [7] - 5:15, 6:25, 8:3, 11:4, 44:25, 53:4, 53:24 defendants [1] - 21:7 **Defender** [1] - 1:19 defender's [1] - 26:6 defense [6] - 7:8, 7:23, 9:10, 27:5, 41:15, 49:10 deferred [1] - 3:22 defined [1] - 44:1 **delineating** [1] - 30:12 demeanor [2] - 42:8, 49:3 demons [1] - 29:9 denied [1] - 31:8 denies [1] - 11:13 deny [1] - 11:3 denying [1] - 11:7 department [1] - 51:12 dependent [1] - 35:21 depravity [1] - 31:15 deputy [1] - 29:20 described [1] - 4:20 deserve [1] - 27:9 designated [1] - 52:9 designation [1] -10:18 designations [1] -51:6 designator [1] - 51:8 desire [1] - 39:23 desires [1] - 24:14 desk[1] - 37:17 desperate [1] - 38:6 despite [1] - 45:22 deter[1] - 49:23 determinative [11] -7:3, 7:8, 7:14, 7:18, 7:24, 8:9, 8:15, 8:21, 8:24, 10:25, 11:16 determine [3] - 15:15, 15:18, 19:15 deterrence [4] - 32:12, 42:11, 49:16, 49:19 deterrent [1] - 21:25 developed [1] - 42:24 development [4] -25:21, 26:4, 39:12, 39:13 deviant [2] - 24:14, 25:19 diagnosed [4] - 29:12, 43:3, 43:4, 52:1 diagnosis [1] - 43:10 differences [1] - 21:10

different [5] - 15:9,

17:8, 24:5, 36:22,

42:2, 42:4, 44:22,

50:2, 50:24, 56:14

facts [10] - 9:25, 10:8,

45:4, 45:6, 46:8,

38:8 difficult [6] - 37:1, 41:10, 44:18, 56:10, 56:15 direct [1] - 46:19 disagree [1] - 34:6 disagrees [1] - 49:7 disappearing [1] -37:1 dismiss [1] - 54:14 dismissed [3] - 7:10, 10:1, 10:7 disorder [6] - 29:11, 43:4. 43:5. 43:14 disorders [1] - 34:20 disparity [1] - 47:2 District [4] - 26:25, 27:1, 57:4, 57:5 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:1 division [1] - 51:6 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 docket [1] - 55:8 doctor [2] - 25:23, 25:25 document [2] - 4:13, 4.15 documented [2] -29:10, 45:11 documents [2] - 4:23, done [4] - 15:4, 28:19, 28:21, 30:3 Donna [1] - 2:6 doubt [1] - 33:7 down [7] - 31:17, 31:18, 45:19, 50:3, 50:6. 50:7 downward [2] - 18:7, 18:9 **Dr** [9] - 30:22, 32:1, 32:3, 40:24, 43:2, 43:3, 43:6, 43:9, 44:17 driving [2] - 23:10, 31:15 drugs [1] - 2:18 **DSM** [1] - 43:5 due [1] - 53:18 during [1] - 53:23 **Dustin** [1] - 2:4 dustin [1] - 1:13 Dustin.Roberts@ usdoj.gov [1] - 1:16

Е

e-mail [1] - 37:5 earliest [1] - 35:10 early [5] - 37:20, 38:5, 38:7, 39:7, 42:23

earn [1] - 39:23 earnings [1] - 53:10 easily [2] - 23:23, 36:21 East [2] - 1:24, 43:17 easy [2] - 23:25, 24:12 edges [2] - 44:23, 46:4 effect [4] - 2:19, 12:4, 19:24, 52:14 effectively [1] - 47:8 effects [1] - 25:15 either [5] - 8:3, 8:17, 11:19, 24:16, 40:22 eligibility [2] - 33:17, 35:10 eligible [3] - 33:3, 33:20, 35:16 empirical [2] - 52:11, 52:17 employed [1] - 53:9 encountered [1] -42:14 encounters [1] - 42:23 end [6] - 11:12, 13:18, 20:4, 48:23, 49:14, 49:25 ended [1] - 24:5 enemy [1] - 37:22 energy [1] - 38:22 enforcement [3] -24:6, 25:17, 28:18 engage [6] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:19, 16:15, 31:1, 49:12 enhancement [7] -16:7, 16:10, 16:16, 16:19, 18:24, 19:3, 19:5 enhancements [1] -16:22 entered [2] - 3:16, 13:6 entitled [2] - 18:8, 57:9 entry [2] - 15:19, 15:22 equal [1] - 17:17 escaped [1] - 28:10 escaping [1] - 28:9 essentially [3] - 3:10, 25:10, 34:18 establish [2] - 38:23, 39:17 established [1] -46:24 estimation [1] - 52:14 evaluate [1] - 13:16 evaluation [2] - 43:9, 51.17

evaluations [2] -

30:21, 52:16 event [2] - 42:12, 51:10 evil [1] - 43:15 evil-hearted [1] -43:15 exactly [1] - 29:5 example [1] - 23:13 exasperating [1] -37:18 exception [1] - 8:14 excuse [3] - 36:17, 38:4, 39:11 exist [1] - 38:12 exists [1] - 38:2 **experience** [1] - 36:9 experienced [2] -37:14, 43:7 **experiment** [1] - 39:22 explain [4] - 4:25, 13:20, 42:24, 54:17 explained [7] - 17:11, 19:20, 19:24, 48:21, 50:25, 56:1, 56:9 **explaining** [2] - 26:12, 26:13 explains [1] - 4:15 explanation [3] - 13:9, 43:12, 56:8 expressed [1] - 52:15 extended [1] - 44:11 **extensive** [1] - 40:19 extent [7] - 43:11, 48:24, 49:7, 51:10, 51:15, 52:22, 53:19 extraordinarily [3] -45:23, 46:5, 50:16 extremely [5] - 5:18, 6:15, 14:22, 34:1, 44:10

F

face [2] - 44:13, 45:23 faced [2] - 43:19, 45:25 facility [3] - 40:9, 51:18, 51:25 fact [7] - 16:8, 38:18, 40:20, 44:2, 45:21, 48:3, 49:21 factor [6] - 21:12, 23:10, 45:8, 46:10, 47:24, 49:1 factors [27] - 13:10, 13:14, 13:17, 13:21, 14:9, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, 20:22, 20:23, 20:25, 22:7, 23:23, 28:7, 41:21, 41:24,

23:3, 23:25, 31:20, 31:25, 41:24, 42:1, 44:19, 45:4 factual [4] - 9:9, 9:21, 9:24, 11:18 fail [1] - 50:12 failed [1] - 27:20 fairly [1] - 54:20 family [1] - 32:4 far [3] - 10:4, 10:17, 42:21 fashion [2] - 14:13, 42:3 fashioning [1] - 21:21 Fax [1] - 1:16 Fayetteville [2] - 1:20, 1:25 FAYETTEVILLE [2] -1:2, 1:10 federal [5] - 15:9, 21:18, 26:6, 33:9, 36:11 Federal [4] - 1:19, 1:24, 57:3, 57:17 federally [1] - 28:16 fee [3] - 55:11, 55:13, 55:14 fellow [1] - 39:24 felt [1] - 56:16 few [9] - 6:17, 9:4, 14:19, 14:25, 20:24, 34:22, 43:21, 48:21 field [1] - 43:8 fifteen [1] - 24:23 figure [2] - 12:4, 12:14 filed [8] - 4:3, 4:6, 4:11, 4:13, 4:14, 6:2, 25:6, 26:9 files [1] - 52:10 filing [6] - 6:7, 55:5, 55:11, 55:12, 55:13, 55:16 final [14] - 4:10, 4:12, 5:7, 5:13, 6:4, 6:8, 12:19, 12:22, 14:21, 14:24, 15:2, 40:5, 50:18, 50:19 finally [2] - 16:18, 50:7 Financial [1] - 53:25 financial [2] - 53:17, 53:22 fine [6] - 20:14, 53:5, 53:7, 53:11, 53:12, 53:16 fired [1] - 24:7 first [13] - 13:22,

15:22, 21:18, 22:14, 25:21, 28:22, 29:15, 41:14, 46:15, 46:17, 51:5, 51:15, 55:9 first-time [2] - 46:15, 46:17 fits [1] - 48:12 five [6] - 3:9, 18:24, 19:4, 19:5, 20:13, 24:23 five-count [1] - 3:9 five-level [2] - 18:24, flag [1] - 52:8 flagged [1] - 52:24 fleeing [1] - 36:23 follow [4] - 15:20, 20:7, 20:13, 51:13 followed [1] - 23:13 following [3] - 12:6, 16:5, 53:21 follows [1] - 20:10 FOR [2] - 1:12, 1:17 force [2] - 30:10, 31:18 forces [1] - 31:15 foregoing [1] - 57:7 foreign [2] - 36:20, 40:12 format [1] - 57:10 formative [1] - 42:23 forms [2] - 55:15, 55:16 Fort [1] - 1:15 forth [2] - 14:9, 45:4 forthcoming [3] -40:21, 42:15, 44:6 fought [2] - 29:18 four [6] - 17:8, 17:24, 18:5, 23:20, 25:4, 30:6 friend [6] - 5:18, 24:3, 38:3, 43:17, 43:18, 43:20 front [1] - 42:15 fulfill [3] - 21:17, 24:14, 48:1 full [1] - 40:2 fully [4] - 2:25, 36:19, 44:8, 53:8 fundamental [1] -54:23 funds [1] - 53:24 future [1] - 22:2

G

generic [1] - 16:4 genuine [1] - 42:9 genuinely [1] - 34:5

20:8

given [14] - 10:1, 10:7, 22:20, 34:19, 34:25, 36:2, 43:6, 46:20, 46:21, 48:24, 50:17, 52:14, 53:1, 56:11 glad [1] - 22:21 Glock [1] - 29:21 God [1] - 29:17 GOVERNMENT [1] government [19] - 4:5, 6:2, 6:18, 6:24, 8:4, 8:17, 13:6, 18:13, 18:15, 18:16, 22:25, 26:9, 28:11, 29:14, 31:11, 36:20, 40:2, 40:12, 54:13 government's [4] -5:14, 23:24, 32:7, grabbing [1] - 31:17 grain [1] - 37:22 grains [1] - 50:19 granted [2] - 18:18, 54:15 gravity [1] - 41:12 great [1] - 41:22 greater [5] - 21:16, 28:6, 47:16, 48:1, 49:16 greatly [1] - 49:18 grew [1] - 42:25 grid [4] - 15:16, 20:7, 37:1 group [5] - 9:3, 9:5, 17:13, 38:25, 39:4 Group [2] - 17:10, 17:17 grouping [2] - 17:23, groupings [5] - 12:6, 12:8, 17:8, 17:10, 17:25 Groups [1] - 18:4 groups [4] - 6:25, 7:1, 17:6, 38:9 guess [2] - 6:20, 9:24 guideline [23] - 7:3, 7:8, 7:14, 7:18, 8:9, 14:5, 14:20, 15:10, 15:12, 15:15, 15:21, 15:25, 16:14, 19:23, 22:10, 27:6, 41:19, 48:15, 48:19, 49:25, 53:5, 53:7 quidelinedeterminative [5] -7:3, 7:8, 7:14, 7:18, guidelines [12] - 8:16,

10:15, 13:24, 14:18, 15:10, 18:23, 19:18, 20:9, 20:17, 23:11, 23:21, 48:13 guilt [2] - 30:2, 37:12 guilty [6] - 3:16, 3:22, 26:21, 27:6, 54:22, 54:24 gun [1] - 45:19

Н

hand [2] - 34:3, 34:4 hands [3] - 31:21, 45:14, 45:15 hands-on [3] - 31:21, 45:14, 45:15 hard [1] - 29:18 harshest [1] - 37:10 hatred [1] - 37:13 Hayden [3] - 1:23, 57:3, 57:17 head [2] - 29:21, 45:19 health [2] - 29:11, 32:13 hear [3] - 14:14, 22:21, 39:3 heard [3] - 9:17, 26:25, 38:3 hearing [9] - 2:10, 2:13, 3:6, 3:21, 3:22, 13:9, 13:17, 13:19, 56:3 HEARING [1] - 1:9 heart [1] - 31:16 hearted [1] - 43:15 held [4] - 29:20, 31:22, 45:18, 57:8 help [7] - 38:2, 38:16, 39:1, 39:11, 39:25, 49:11 hereby [1] - 57:5 high [4] - 24:24, 25:24, 41:16, 48:16 highest [6] - 17:9, 17:15, 17:16, 17:23, 20:3, 26:7 highly [1] - 33:7 himself [1] - 36:20 history [24] - 3:7, 15:18, 19:3, 19:15, 19:16, 19:18, 19:21, 19:22, 19:25, 20:1, 20:5, 21:4, 21:9. 24:3, 31:24, 44:25, 46:7, 46:10, 46:11, 46:13, 46:20, 46:21, 46:24 hit [1] - 27:14 holds [1] - 30:15

honestly [2] - 36:23, 39:1 Honor [47] - 5:11, 5:23, 5:25, 6:6, 7:6, 7:20, 8:1, 9:1, 9:7, 9:15, 9:19, 10:13, 10:23, 18:17, 19:11, 19:13, 20:18, 20:20, 22:24, 22:25, 26:20, 27:10, 27:23, 28:2, 28:3, 28:9, 30:4, 30:8, 34:17, 35:4, 36:8, 36:18, 36:25, 37:15, 37:23, 38:19, 38:24, 39:4, 39:7, 39:15, 40:1, 40:14, 54:5, 54:7, 54:14, 56:18, 56:20 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:9 hope [3] - 27:6, 27:7, 34:18 hopes [4] - 34:18, 34:20, 34:24, 51:13

hoping [2] - 34:15,

40:11

host [1] - 14:8

hours [1] - 2:17

housed [1] - 33:18

idea [2] - 27:15, 36:1 identified [1] - 5:21 identity [1] - 36:22 ignored [1] - 39:5 illustrate [1] - 32:16 input [1] - 19:14 imagine [2] - 37:16, inputs [1] - 20:6 37:17 inquiry [1] - 35:2 immediately [3] instance [3] - 15:3, 30:6, 53:18, 53:20 20:1, 24:25 impact [3] - 8:16, instances [1] - 25:9 11:1, 42:10 instant [1] - 18:25 **importance** [1] - 41:22 instead [2] - 4:10, important [5] - 15:3, 27:7 21:13, 30:12, 39:6, institution [1] - 56:6 55:3 instruct [2] - 12:1, importantly [1] - 37:4 15:1 impose [12] - 2:10, instructed [2] - 12:17, 2:13, 13:3, 22:18, 12.18 23:1, 33:1, 50:4, instructions [1] - 15:1 50:5, 50:20, 53:2, intended [1] - 54:2 53:12, 53:14 intent [3] - 3:11, 3:12, imposed [7] - 21:7, 3:19 28:5, 47:6, 53:1, interdiction [1] - 39:7 54:4, 54:9, 55:1 interested [2] - 39:15, imposes [3] - 13:18, 39.19 49:23, 50:8 interesting [2] - 32:20, imprisoned [1] - 51:2 42:13 imprisonment [3] interpret [1] - 48:11 20:12, 28:4, 53:23 intersect [2] - 15:20,

improper [1] - 55:2 incarcerated [2] -26:16, 53:9 incarcerating [1] -38:17 incarceration [1] -20.11 incentive [1] - 37:13 inclined [1] - 46:17 include [2] - 20:25, 51:25 included [2] - 17:8, 17:23 including [2] - 12:13, 48:23 incorporate [1] -14:23 indicate [1] - 45:12 indicted [1] - 3:8 indictment [4] - 3:9, 3:17, 51:3, 54:11 individual [4] - 21:14, 25:15, 27:21, 48:3 individualized [1] -48:4 individuals [1] - 23:15 inferring [1] - 28:12 influenced [1] - 16:15 **information** [5] - 9:21, 9:22, 22:5, 40:22, 56:13 informed [4] - 3:24, 39:16, 39:19, 43:8 initial [2] - 4:3, 5:7 Inmate [1] - 53:25

jail [1] - 26:11 - 1:21 45:17 39:2 55:8

intersection [1] - 20:8 intervention [1] - 38:7 interview [1] - 28:18 introduction [1] -37:21 invest [1] - 38:22 investigation [1] -52:12 investigative [2] -3:25, 52:18 involuntary [1] - 54:22 involved [1] - 27:1 involves [3] - 13:23, 21:4, 21:5 involving [2] - 46:1, 47:3 Islands [2] - 24:6, 25:5 issue [2] - 10:25, 17:14 issues [2] - 8:24, 55:24 itemize [1] - 6:16 itemized [2] - 9:6, 22:7 IV [4] - 19:22, 20:2, 20:5, 46:22

J

January [2] - 3:15, 4:1 Joe [2] - 1:18, 2:5 joe_alfaro@fd.org [1] judge [2] - 8:6, 8:12 Judge [23] - 7:13, 8:19, 10:10, 11:24, 28:19, 29:5, 29:12, 30:24, 31:7, 31:13, 31:23, 32:2, 32:7, 32:23, 33:11, 33:25, 34:3, 34:9, 34:14, 34:25, 35:7, 36:4, judges [2] - 29:16, judgment [2] - 50:25, Judicial [1] - 57:11 judicial [1] - 10:2 June [1] - 57:12 justice [2] - 27:13, 27:19

K

keep [2] - 39:16, 39:19 kid [1] - 27:22 kids [1] - 27:16 kind [5] - 7:18, 14:11,

25:5

Marshals [1] - 56:5

L

43:18

lack [1] - 46:23 laid [2] - 17:1, 19:17 land [1] - 29:24 large [2] - 30:5, 41:21 last [3] - 2:17, 6:17, 54:16 late [1] - 6:19 law [11] - 14:9, 21:24, 24:6, 25:16, 28:18, 36:7, 49:2, 49:5, 49:6, 49:10, 49:15 least [12] - 7:2, 16:8, 23:20, 27:12, 27:15, 32:3, 42:10, 42:22, 43:13, 44:3, 46:17, 55:25 left [2] - 35:5, 35:17 legal [1] - 3:1 legally [1] - 55:2 length [1] - 48:6 lengthy [1] - 33:8 lenient [1] - 32:15 leper [1] - 29:3 less [1] - 49:10 letter [5] - 5:16, 5:19, 29:14, 29:15, 43:16 letters [7] - 26:11, 28:13, 29:7, 29:13, 30:21, 40:23, 44:16 level [28] - 12:13, 12:14, 15:13, 15:17, 15:23, 15:24, 16:4, 16:7, 16:10, 16:16, 16:18, 16:23, 17:1, 17:9, 17:15, 17:16, 17:18, 17:24, 18:3, 18:6, 18:9, 18:14, 18:17, 18:21, 18:24, 19:5, 19:6, 19:9 levels [4] - 16:22, 18:5, 18:19, 19:4 liable [1] - 11:8

life [28] - 20:12, 20:14, 23:1, 24:19, 25:3, 27:7, 27:23, 29:19, 30:13, 32:2, 32:22, 35:19, 35:23, 35:25, 41:20, 47:5, 47:6, 47:9, 47:11, 47:14, 48:20. 48:23. 50:1. 50:5, 50:22, 51:3, 53:1 light [2] - 6:18, 45:7 lightly [1] - 23:2 likelihood [2] - 26:2, 26.8 likely [4] - 26:3, 45:12, 51:8, 55:9 limit [2] - 55:5, 55:6 line [3] - 3:12, 13:2, 30:12 lines [2] - 20:8, 52:3 listed [1] - 26:22 literally [1] - 18:20 Littleton [3] - 40:8, 51:18, 51:21 live [3] - 28:25, 29:4, 34:22 located [1] - 39:6 long-term [1] - 52:25 look [12] - 16:1, 16:24, 19:16, 21:14, 23:14, 30:24, 31:9, 34:2, 42:1. 48:2. 48:16. 51:7 looking [5] - 19:2, 26:10, 34:10, 39:9, 45:3 looks [2] - 44:21, 48:10 loose [1] - 35:25 lose [1] - 47:19 lost [1] - 39:5 louder [1] - 40:10 love [1] - 40:14 loves [2] - 34:5, 34:12 lowest [1] - 20:3

M

machine [1] - 1:22 mail [1] - 37:5 maintain [1] - 8:11 maintaining [1] - 7:23 male [1] - 32:4 man [6] - 23:17, 25:13, 26:1, 34:23, 36:18, 36:19 mandatory [1] - 53:14 manner [3] - 16:1, 21:3, 21:22 Marshall [2] - 24:6,

materials [2] - 5:21, 45:5 mathematical [1] -12.9 matter [3] - 2:1, 3:1, 57:9 matters [2] - 11:18, 19.5 maximum [1] - 48:9 mean [2] - 29:8, 48:12 means [5] - 13:2, 14:15. 27:19. 38:7. 41:21 meant [2] - 38:10, 47:8 medications [1] - 2:18 meet [2] - 4:18, 4:22 member [2] - 32:4, 34:23 memo [8] - 10:9, 25:6, 25:7, 25:20, 26:22, 30:10, 32:1, 34:17 memorandum [2] -5.15 memorandums [2] -44:15, 44:16 memos [1] - 26:9 men [1] - 26:19 mental [3] - 29:10, 32:13, 34:19 mentioned [6] - 12:2, 17:4, 22:6, 45:5, 46:9, 50:6 merely [2] - 11:7, 14:5 met [2] - 28:22, 37:12 method [1] - 21:3 Middle [1] - 43:17 middle [2] - 20:4, 31.17 might [7] - 11:21, 22:3, 22:13, 22:17, 22:18, 35:24, 52:19 mind [3] - 2:19, 27:12, 39:21 mines [1] - 29:25 minimum [1] - 20:13 minor [5] - 3:11, 3:19, 16:15, 16:20, 17:22 minors [13] - 16:8, 23:20, 25:1, 26:16, 26:18, 27:1, 29:2, 31:1, 31:17, 34:5, 46:2, 50:12 minutes [1] - 41:4 mitigate [1] - 44:23 mitigating [15] -30:24, 31:9, 31:20, 42:4, 42:12, 42:20,

43:2, 43:25, 44:2, 44:10, 44:19, 44:21, 46:5, 46:9, 46:23 mitigation [2] - 22:17, 39:8 modalities [3] - 52:13, 52:19 moderate [1] - 25:24 molestation [5] -23:16, 23:17, 24:2, 24:4, 32:4 molested [2] - 26:19, 27:17 molester [1] - 23:4 molesting [1] - 24:8 moment [3] - 6:22, 12:15, 14:17 moments [5] - 9:4, 14:19, 14:25, 20:24, 48:21 months [7] - 20:11, 35:19, 35:24, 41:20, 48:20, 50:1, 50:5 most [9] - 23:4, 37:4, 37:18, 44:22, 45:15, 47:12, 47:22, 49:9, 52:10 mother [2] - 37:3, 38:3 motion [3] - 18:13, 18:18, 54:15 Mountain [1] - 1:24 move [2] - 20:21, 54:13 moved [1] - 24:3 moves [1] - 18:16 MR [32] - 5:11, 5:23, 5:25, 6:6, 7:6, 7:12, 7:20, 8:1, 8:6, 8:11, 8:19, 9:1, 9:7, 9:14, 9:19, 10:13, 11:23, 18:16, 19:11, 19:13, 20:18, 20:20, 22:24, 35:4, 35:7, 36:1, 36:7, 54:5, 54:7, 54:13, 56:18, 56:20 multiple [4] - 6:10, 6:11, 6:12, 17:21 must [6] - 14:1, 14:2, 14:6, 14:8, 15:22, 43:25

Ν

narrow [1] - 54:20 nature [7] - 19:7, 21:2, 37:17, 41:11, 44:23, 46:3, 47:15 nearing [1] - 33:17 necessary [6] - 10:19, 21:16, 28:7, 33:24,

48:1, 55:16 need [14] - 4:20, 6:15, 8:23, 10:16, 11:17, 12:5, 23:8, 32:11, 47:1, 48:10, 50:7, 52:23, 54:16, 54:17 needs [2] - 10:14, 12:10 negative [1] - 38:10 nephew [2] - 24:2, 50:13 nephew's [1] - 24:3 net [1] - 12:4 network [3] - 38:15, 38:21, 39:18 never [12] - 24:23, 25:9, 26:13, 27:20, 27:21, 28:10, 29:22, 31:2, 31:3, 32:19, 47:9 new [2] - 18:21, 36:22 next [5] - 2:1, 18:22, 22:11, 33:11, 55:10 night [1] - 29:21 nine [2] - 19:21, 38:24 nine-page [1] - 38:24 **NO**[1] - 1:5 none [2] - 37:14, 38:7 nonetheless [1] -43:20 nonguideline [6] -7:24, 8:15, 8:21, 8:24, 10:25, 11:16 nonguidelinedeterminative [6] -7:24, 8:15, 8:21, 8:24, 10:25, 11:16 normal [1] - 29:17 noted [2] - 9:9, 10:9 nothing [2] - 38:8, 38:11 notice [3] - 55:6, 55:12, 55:17 notified [1] - 51:11 November [2] - 33:4, 35:11 number [7] - 2:3, 23:5, 27:18, 30:5, 36:21, 45:15, 48:16 Number [3] - 8:2, 8:22, 9:17

0

numerous [1] - 6:8

object [2] - 10:9, 25:22 objecting [1] - 9:22 **Objection** [3] - 8:2, 8:22, 9:17

objection [11] - 4:5, 6:2, 6:3, 7:7, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 7:24, 11:4, 11:5, 11:10 objections [26] - 4:6, 4:9, 4:16, 6:2, 6:8, 6:10, 6:14, 6:16, 6:18, 6:21, 7:2, 7:3, 8:3, 8:15, 8:16, 8:21, 9:6, 9:8, 9:9, 11:16, 11:22, 12:16, 14:20, 17:5, 17:25 **objective** [1] - 21:9 obligated [1] - 22:19 obviously [4] - 12:15, 45:2, 49:17, 56:10 occurred [1] - 48:25 odd [1] - 51:9 oddly [1] - 51:5 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:9, 57.1 offend [1] - 50:18 offender [4] - 33:15, 35:12, 40:9, 46:17 offenders [6] - 26:3, 33:18, 34:8, 38:17, 46:15, 52:12 offense [37] - 10:1, 12:13, 12:24, 15:11, 15:12, 15:13, 15:17, 15:23, 16:4, 16:5, 17:1, 17:3, 17:9, 17:15, 17:16, 17:18, 17:24, 18:6, 18:21, 18:25, 19:6, 19:9, 21:2, 21:23, 23:8, 31:10, 31:21, 41:11, 44:24, 45:9, 46:3, 47:10, 48:6, 48:7, 48:18 offenses [8] - 16:19, 28:5, 28:8, 30:4, 42:7, 42:17, 46:1, 47:4 offer [5] - 22:12, 32:17, 32:21, 39:10, 39.11 offered [1] - 52:1 office [3] - 18:9, 26:6, 32:21 Office [2] - 1:13, 32:18 officer [6] - 4:3, 4:8, 4:15, 10:19, 11:11, OFFICIAL [1] - 57:1 Official [3] - 1:24, 57:3, 57:17 often [1] - 42:19 oftentimes [1] - 14:13 old [5] - 3:14, 16:12,

16:13, 34:22, 40:6 older [1] - 42:25 once [1] - 15:19 One [7] - 3:17, 12:24, 16:23, 17:11, 17:17, 51:3 one [33] - 3:17, 4:5, 6:2, 7:17, 8:7, 15:16, 18:3, 18:14, 18:17, 23:12, 23:18, 24:20, 26:23, 26:24, 27:12, 27:22, 28:15, 28:20, 29:15, 31:7, 31:11, 31:15, 32:21, 34:3, 34:21, 35:2, 36:4, 38:13, 38:14, 38:22, 49:15, 55:9 one-level [2] - 18:3, ones [3] - 8:9, 8:13, 30.7 ongoing [1] - 25:1 open [2] - 42:15, 44:5 opinion [1] - 30:19 opportunity [7] - 5:19, 22:16, 22:21, 34:25, 40:14, 50:17, 51:6 opposed [3] - 34:25, 35:23, 43:14 option [2] - 39:12, 50:21 options [1] - 39:25 order [2] - 15:14, 19:15 originally [1] - 3:8 otherwise [4] - 12:18, 22:3, 22:18, 54:24 outside [1] - 24:3 outstanding [3] - 6:9, 24:17, 25:23 overall [3] - 24:15, 32:24, 45:25 overrule [2] - 11:3, 11:10 overseas [1] - 40:12 overtime [1] - 12:3 own [7] - 24:14, 25:16, 25:19, 25:25, 34:8, 47:20, 49:9

Р

p.m [4] - 1:10, 41:6, 56:22 P.O [1] - 1:14 Page [1] - 7:13 page [3] - 29:15, 38:24, 57:9 paid [1] - 53:22 paper [1] - 55:7

paperwork [2] - 52:6, 52:8 paragraph [12] - 7:10, 7:11, 7:13, 9:18, 10:8, 11:6, 11:9, 11:12, 11:14, 12:2, 12:6, 12:12 paragraphs [2] -12:10, 19:20 Parker [1] - 1:14 parole [5] - 33:3, 33:17, 33:20, 35:9, 35:16 paroled [1] - 36:10 part [7] - 11:19, 13:22, 24:10, 34:9, 44:22, 49:9, 55:9 participant [1] - 16:14 participate [1] - 52:15 participation [1] -52:24 parties [1] - 22:12 parts [1] - 13:22 past [4] - 25:10, 37:18, 42:9, 42:17 pay [4] - 53:5, 53:6, 53:19, 55:13 payable [1] - 53:18 payments [2] - 53:11, 53:20 pedophile [4] - 24:1, 25:11, 26:13, 49:21 pedophilia [1] - 29:11 pedophiliac [1] - 43:5 penalties [1] - 53:18 penalty [1] - 53:22 pending [1] - 56:5 penetrate [1] - 31:3 people [2] - 21:18, 38:15 perceive [1] - 29:6 percent [1] - 53:24 perfect [2] - 31:13, 55:16 perform [1] - 16:25 perhaps [1] - 23:4 period [3] - 20:11, 20:12, 25:3 permission [1] - 45:21 persecuted [1] - 29:3 person [11] - 30:14, 30:19, 32:5, 34:2, 34:4, 34:5, 34:11, 43:6, 49:4, 52:10 personal [5] - 21:4, 42:17, 44:25, 46:7, 46:10 personally [2] - 39:16, 51:22

perspective [1] -

23:24 persuaded [3] - 50:16, 53:5, 53:8 pertinent [1] - 20:25 Phase [2] - 14:7, 20:21 Phone [1] - 1:15 pictures [2] - 30:17, 31.3 place [5] - 13:22, 14:9, 14:15, 21:19, 41:4 placed [1] - 51:25 placement [1] - 10:4 places [1] - 19:22 Plaintiff [1] - 1:4 planning [1] - 10:18 plea [11] - 3:16, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 12:22, 13:4, 13:5, 18:12, 32:18, 54:22, 54:24 plead [1] - 27:5 pleading [1] - 37:8 pled [1] - 26:21 pledge [1] - 39:15 point [17] - 2:11, 3:7, 6:23, 7:2, 7:4, 14:8, 15:22, 18:8, 20:8, 23:18, 24:18, 31:14, 32:6, 32:24, 44:11, 45:17 pointed [1] - 31:12 points [5] - 15:19, 19:19, 19:21, 43:21 polite [2] - 49:12, 50:11 polluted [1] - 29:24 pondered [1] - 37:2 pool [1] - 34:8 pornography [2] -30:17, 31:4 **portion** [1] - 13:9 portrayed [1] - 49:4 position [2] - 12:22, 24:7 positioned [1] - 52:17 possibility [1] - 48:9 possible [4] - 37:10, 38:18, 38:20, 40:6 possibly [1] - 45:24 potential [1] - 41:12 potentially [1] - 9:20 practice [1] - 36:9 pre [1] - 38:14 precisely [2] - 13:8, predilections [2] -44:6, 49:23 preferences [1] -29:19

premise [1] - 11:5

preoffenders [2] -38:12, 39:9 preoffending [6] -38:15, 38:21, 38:25, 39:4, 39:18, 39:25 prepared [1] - 15:2 present [1] - 53:6 presented [3] - 23:5, 26:5, 32:18 presentence [15] -3:24, 4:4, 4:10, 4:13, 4:19, 5:1, 5:8, 5:13, 6:4, 6:8, 12:19, 14:21, 14:25, 15:2, 44:14 prevent [2] - 37:24, 38:20 prevention [1] - 39:8 prey [1] - 24:12 prison [4] - 33:9, 35:1, 36:10, 47:8 Prisons [12] - 35:25, 51:2, 51:5, 51:7, 51:11, 51:16, 52:4, 52:7, 52:9, 52:11, 53:10, 56:6 prisons [1] - 51:12 probation [8] - 2:6, 4:3, 4:8, 4:15, 10:19, 11:11, 12:3, 18:8 procedural [1] - 3:6 procedurally [1] - 55:2 proceed [2] - 22:23, 28:1 Proceedings [1] -1:22 proceedings [4] -2:23, 54:23, 56:22, 57.8 process [4] - 4:1, 10:2, 14:7, 20:22 proclaimed [1] - 24:1 produce [1] - 31:4 producing [1] - 30:17 productive [1] - 34:23 professional [1] -39:17 profound [1] - 44:4 program [7] - 33:15, 33:16, 33:18, 33:19, 33:25, 35:13, 35:14 Program [1] - 54:1 programs [2] - 33:24, 52.15 prohibited [1] - 16:15 projected [1] - 35:9 prolific [1] - 23:4 promote [1] - 21:23 promoting [1] - 49:1 proper [1] - 6:20

properly [1] - 10:22 proposal [2] - 38:25, 39:5 proposed [1] - 40:5 protect [3] - 22:2, 23:8, 50:8 protecting [1] - 32:12 prove [2] - 10:19, 39:22 provide [1] - 48:10 provides [1] - 16:14 providing [3] - 23:9, 23:23, 27:13 provision [1] - 19:23 proxy [1] - 47:14 PSR [9] - 7:10, 9:18, 31:6, 32:1, 32:3, 40:19, 40:23, 45:5, 45:11 psychological [4] -43:3, 43:12, 43:14, 52:2 psychosexual [2] -25:21, 26:4 Public [1] - 1:19 public [6] - 22:2, 23:8, 24:7, 32:12, 33:19, 50:8 pull [1] - 29:22 punishing [1] - 26:17 punishment [14] -15:21, 23:9, 23:23, 24:18, 32:11, 37:10, 47:16, 48:11, 48:12, 48:20, 48:22, 49:19, 50:21 punishments [1] -13:25 purports [1] - 11:6 purpose [8] - 2:9, 2:12, 23:9, 32:6, 45:8, 48:8, 49:3, 55:21 purposely [1] - 29:23 purposes [9] - 10:16, 17:7, 17:23, 21:17, 21:20, 22:6, 25:19, 48:2, 48:3 pursuant [4] - 10:13, 18:24, 19:17, 57:6 put [3] - 10:2, 45:19 putting [1] - 10:18

Q

questions [4] - 5:4, 5:10, 40:15, 40:18 quite [3] - 40:19, 40:21, 45:24

R raised [1] - 6:9 raising [1] - 32:6 range [17] - 13:24, 14:2, 14:5, 14:6, 15:15, 15:21, 41:13, 41:21, 45:11, 48:15, 48:19, 48:23, 49:25, 50:1, 53:5, 53:7 rate [1] - 53:23 rather [2] - 11:7, 46:12 reaching [1] - 31:18 read [8] - 5:19, 25:23, 26:4, 28:13, 28:14, 29:7, 44:14, 44:15 realize [2] - 30:23, 30:25 realized [1] - 47:5 really [6] - 13:14, 40:25, 41:22, 41:25, 45:6, 48:16 realtime [1] - 1:22 Realtime [1] - 57:3 reason [9] - 10:7, 11:2, 14:22, 34:7, 34:9, 34:10, 43:24, 46:16, 54:3 reasons [7] - 15:5, 19:20, 34:16, 48:21, 50:23, 53:11 receive [2] - 18:19, 18:23 received [5] - 5:7, 5:14, 5:16, 22:9, 43:16 recess [2] - 41:3, 41:6 recidivating [1] - 26:2 recidivism [2] - 25:24, 26:8 recitation [1] - 9:3 recognize [5] - 7:15, 8:12, 43:13, 43:25, 50:12 recognizes [1] - 49:9 recognizing [1] - 51:5 recommend [4] - 14:1, 20:10, 40:8, 51:17 recommendation [6] -14:3, 22:13, 41:16, 51:24, 52:4, 52:7 recommendations [3] - 51:4, 51:7, 51:14 recommended [2] -14:6. 18:9 recommends [1] -22:25

record [4] - 4:21, 5:7,

recorded [2] - 1:22,

7:22, 11:21

28:17 records [1] - 11:6 recrimination [1] -37:13 reduce [2] - 39:1, 39:2 reduces [1] - 23:18 reduction [3] - 18:14, 18:17, 18:20 refer [1] - 53:17 reference [3] - 14:24, 25:20, 40:24 referred [2] - 14:13, 30:22 referring [3] - 7:9, 10:21. 32:8 reflect [3] - 26:15, 48:5, 49:19 reflects [2] - 12:25, 21:22 regard [6] - 11:15, 16:23, 40:20, 47:1, 47:16, 55:24 regarding [1] - 31:24 regardless [1] - 55:4 regards [1] - 32:11 regret [1] - 40:11 regulations [1] - 57:10 rehabilitate [1] - 39:23 rehabilitated [1] -32:13 rehabilitation [1] -39:20 rehabilitative [1] -39:18 relatively [1] - 33:13 release [6] - 20:12, 25:25. 33:3. 35:9. 40:6. 53:3 released [7] - 33:6, 33:7, 33:19, 34:21, 35:11, 35:12, 36:11 relevant [3] - 24:15, 30:7, 45:10 remain [5] - 2:11, 7:2, 12:8, 17:14, 17:25 remainder [1] - 13:17 remained [1] - 6:9 remaining [4] - 33:9, 41:8, 54:10, 54:14 remains [3] - 7:17, 43:20, 45:21 remanded [1] - 56:4 remorse [2] - 37:12, 40:11 remorseful [2] - 28:21, remotely [1] - 38:20 removed [3] - 10:3, 12:7, 24:11 reoffend [2] - 32:9,

34:24 repetition [1] - 49:17 rephrase [1] - 9:21 report [19] - 3:25, 4:4, 4:10, 4:13, 5:1, 5:13, 6:4, 6:8, 9:23, 12:19, 14:21, 14:25, 15:2, 32:1, 40:24, 43:2, 43:9, 44:15, 44:17 reported [1] - 57:8 **Reporter** [3] - 1:24, 57:4, 57:17 **REPORTER** [1] - 57:1 reports [3] - 4:19, 5:8, 10:20 represent [1] - 55:22 representation [2] -3:1, 51:20 represented [1] -55:19 represents [1] - 49:15 reputable [1] - 34:1 request [5] - 28:3, 40:3, 40:7, 51:19, 55:18 requested [4] - 31:7, 32:25, 33:2, 33:13 requesting [1] - 32:14 requests [1] - 34:24 require [2] - 4:18, 12:3 required [2] - 53:20, 56:14 research [3] - 39:12, 39:13, 52:18 resisting [1] - 44:11 resolve [1] - 4:8 resolved [5] - 4:16, 4:17, 6:4, 12:16, resonating [1] - 28:24 respect [5] - 21:24, 31:4, 49:1, 49:5, 49.15 respected [1] - 31:8 respectfully [4] - 28:3, 34:24, 40:3, 40:7 respects [3] - 43:1, 44:24, 49:5 response [1] - 4:5 Responsibility [1] -53:25 responsibility [8] -18:10, 28:15, 28:20, 36:24, 37:11, 44:5, 44:9. 49:4 responsible [1] -52:11 rest [1] - 47:9

results [2] - 13:24,

25:14

retain [1] - 55:20 retired [1] - 51:9 review [5] - 3:6, 4:23, 5:22, 21:1, 21:4 reviewed [4] - 5:9, 5:14, 45:6, 47:2 revised [2] - 14:25, 15:2 revision [1] - 12:10 revisions [3] - 12:4, 12:17, 12:20 rights [2] - 54:18, 55:25 ring [1] - 48:15 risk [2] - 10:5, 25:24 Rivera [1] - 31:13 Rivera's [1] - 31:22 RMR [2] - 1:23, 57:17 **ROBERTS** [13] - 5:23, 6:6, 9:7, 9:14, 10:13, 18:16, 19:13, 20:20, 22:24, 36:7, 54:7, 54:13, 56:18 Roberts [14] - 1:13, 2:4, 5:20, 9:2, 10:12, 18:15, 19:12, 22:14, 22:23, 27:24, 36:6, 54:6, 54:10, 56:17 rolls [1] - 36:11 room [2] - 25:14, 46:22 rule [3] - 8:12, 8:22, 11:17 **Rule** [1] - 10:13 rules [4] - 4:18, 6:11, 17:12, 18:1 ruling [3] - 8:24, 10:14, 11:15 run [2] - 28:4, 55:7

S

safe [1] - 39:11 sand [1] - 50:19 satisfied [1] - 2:25 scale [2] - 30:5, 44:9 schedule [1] - 53:21 scheme [1] - 19:17 school [1] - 32:5 score [3] - 10:5, 19:19, 19:21 search [1] - 38:6 seated [2] - 2:11, 41:9 second [5] - 7:22, 14:7, 19:14, 20:22, 22:15 section [1] - 15:9 Section [6] - 14:10, 15:12, 15:24, 18:24, 19:24, 57:6

see [7] - 8:22, 29:13, 34:3, 34:11, 34:15, 40:11, 42:18 seek [2] - 11:21, 55:5 seeking [1] - 8:23 seem [1] - 38:22 sees [1] - 46:14 self [1] - 24:1 self-proclaimed [1] -24:1 send [1] - 52:9 senior [1] - 52:10 sense [8] - 31:20, 38:19, 42:12, 42:13, 43:2, 44:3, 46:6, 46:25 sent [1] - 32:20 sentence [48] - 2:13, 11:11, 12:1, 13:3, 13:11, 13:18, 20:10, 21:15, 21:17, 21:21, 21:22, 22:1, 22:18, 23:1, 24:19, 27:6, 27:23, 28:4, 30:13, 32:14, 32:25, 33:2, 33:8, 33:13, 34:25, 35:18, 35:19, 35:23, 36:3, 40:4, 40:5, 41:16, 47:21, 47:25, 48:4, 48:8, 48:23, 49:22, 50:8, 50:22, 53:2, 54:2, 54:4, 54:8, 55:1, 56:9, 56:15 sentenced [8] - 14:1, 42:16, 45:16, 47:5, 47:11, 47:13, 47:18, 47.23 sentences [4] - 21:6, 21:11, 47:3, 47:7 sentencing [33] - 5:14, 5:15, 10:9, 10:16, 11:2, 11:19, 13:8, 13:23, 13:25, 14:3, 15:12, 20:7, 20:9, 22:6, 22:10, 22:13, 25:6, 25:7, 25:20, 26:9, 26:22, 29:16, 32:1, 34:17, 40:3, 41:10, 41:13, 41:23, 44:15, 44:16, 45:8, 47:1, 48:2 SENTENCING [1] separate [3] - 16:3, 17:13, 45:15 serious [1] - 46:6 seriousness [7] -12:25, 21:23, 23:7, 41:11, 41:17, 48:5,

48:7 serve [5] - 21:24, 22:2, 33:7, 35:25, 51:18 serves [1] - 36:9 Service [1] - 56:5 services [1] - 3:1 serving [2] - 28:6, 36:2 set [2] - 14:9, 45:4 several [5] - 12:10, 17:2, 26:14, 26:16, 44:20 severe [2] - 32:15, 47:12 sex [13] - 6:12, 19:1, 26:3, 33:15, 35:12, 37:21, 38:17, 40:9, 46:1, 47:3, 47:4, 47:10, 52:12 sexual [16] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:19, 16:15, 16:20, 24:1, 24:2, 24:4, 25:9, 25:14, 25:15, 29:18, 34:14, 45:14, 46:1, 51:20 sexually [4] - 24:14, 25:8, 25:19, 26:18 shall [1] - 53:22 sheet [1] - 35:7 Shilo [1] - 2:2 SHILO [1] - 1:6 short [1] - 17:7 shorthand [2] - 1:22, 14:13 shot [1] - 25:22 **show** [3] - 11:6, 11:7, 30:1 shows [3] - 24:15, 31:6, 32:3 sic [1] - 20:19 side [1] - 34:12 sight [1] - 47:19 significant [4] - 46:12, 47:13, 50:4 similar [4] - 21:8, 21:9, 33:24, 47:17 simply [4] - 14:15, 15:20, 20:7, 31:18 single [5] - 23:4, 24:25, 39:5, 45:7, 45:8 situation [2] - 41:12, 54:21 situations [2] - 19:1, 48:15 six [2] - 16:22, 20:2 slack [1] - 46:20

slap [1] - 33:10

sliding [1] - 30:5

Smith [1] - 1:15

48:16

still [5] - 7:7, 7:15,

Document 33

so-called [1] - 20:23 society [3] - 26:17, 28:12, 34:23 sociopathic [1] -43:15 soften [1] - 46:4 someday [1] - 32:8 **someone** [4] - 5:17, 42:15, 47:11, 51:10 sometimes [1] - 48:12 soon [3] - 33:1, 33:12, 33:13 sorry [1] - 3:9 sort [1] - 9:23 sought [1] - 38:1 sounds [2] - 10:20, 35:16 speaks [1] - 41:17 special [6] - 16:5, 17:3, 19:23, 20:15, 53:15, 53:16 specializes [1] - 40:9 specific [2] - 8:13, 35:18 specifically [4] -16:11, 18:8, 21:25, 37.6 spends [1] - 25:7 spoken [2] - 33:15, 48.6 stand [4] - 26:10, 31:25, 36:18, 41:3 standing [1] - 41:8 start [4] - 12:5, 17:1, 42:4, 48:3 started [2] - 16:21, 32:16 starting [1] - 13:22 starts [1] - 15:25 state [5] - 3:12, 11:12, 28:5, 28:11, 51:12 statement [9] - 15:5, 22:16, 22:19, 24:16, 28:1, 32:7, 34:6, 36:14, 45:13 statements [2] - 9:11, 42:8 states [1] - 43:18 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 States [11] - 1:13, 2:2, 2:5, 13:23, 14:10, 44:12, 51:2, 56:5, 57:4, 57:6, 57:11 statistic [1] - 21:14 Steele [1] - 1:19 stenographically [1] -57:8 step [3] - 14:7, 20:22,

7:23, 31:22, 46:5 stories [1] - 43:21 Street [1] - 1:24 strong [2] - 29:23, 39:22 strongly [1] - 40:4 struck [1] - 29:5 studies [2] - 52:23, 52:25 study [3] - 44:8, 52:18 subject [1] - 38:9 subjected [2] - 23:16, 23:17 submit [2] - 26:7, 52:16 submitted [3] - 5:22, 29:14, 38:24 subsequent [1] - 7:1 substances [1] - 2:18 succumb [1] - 29:9 suffering [1] - 39:24 sufficient [3] - 21:16, 28:6, 47:25 suggests [1] - 50:20 Suite [1] - 1:19 **sum** [3] - 20:15, 53:12, 53:15 **summarize** [1] - 15:5 summary [2] - 6:22, 27:11 sun [1] - 38:10 supervised [2] -20:12, 53:2 supervisory [1] - 16:9 support [10] - 5:17, 34:13, 38:6, 38:9, 38:15, 38:21, 38:25, 39:4, 39:18, 39:23 supported [1] - 23:24 **supportive** [1] - 43:20 suppress [1] - 29:18 surely [1] - 51:25 surrender [1] - 40:12 surrendered [1] -36:20 symptom [1] - 37:20 system [2] - 27:19, 35.6

Т

table [1] - 28:1 taboo [1] - 38:9 tailor [1] - 21:15 technical [2] - 6:14, 14:23 technically [2] - 53:16, 54:18 temper [1] - 49:20 ten [1] - 24:23

term [10] - 6:21, 14:14, 35:19, 35:23, 47:6, 50:4, 51:3, 52:25, 53:2, 53:23 terms [9] - 13:5, 18:12, 23:5, 41:12, 42:11, 45:13, 47:6, 47:7, 47:13 terrible [4] - 28:8, 30:23, 32:2, 34:4 test [2] - 10:2, 39:22 testimony [1] - 43:7 Texas [10] - 29:16, 32:17, 33:3, 33:14, 33:25, 35:6, 35:21, 35:24, 36:7, 51:12 THE [63] - 1:9, 1:12, 1:17, 2:1, 2:8, 2:9, 2:16, 2:17, 2:21, 2:22, 2:24, 2:25, 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 4:24, 4:25, 5:2, 5:3, 5:5, 5:6, 5:12, 5:24, 6:1, 6:7, 7:11, 7:16, 7:21, 8:2. 8:10. 8:14. 8:20. 9:2. 9:13. 9:16. 10:11, 10:24, 11:25, 18:18, 19:12, 19:14, 20:19, 20:21, 27:24, 28:2, 35:2, 35:5, 35:15, 36:5, 36:12, 36:15, 36:16, 36:17, 40:17, 41:7, 54:6, 54:8, 54:15, 56:2, 56:3, 56:19, 56:21 themself [1] - 26:10 therapy [1] - 52:19 thereafter [1] - 20:13 therefore [2] - 48:7, 50:23 they've [1] - 26:5 thinks [1] - 49:7 thoroughly [1] - 28:13 threats [1] - 30:11 three [2] - 3:9, 18:19 three-count [1] - 3:9 threw [1] - 7:18 throat [1] - 45:20 throughout [2] - 2:23, 13:17 tied [1] - 45:19 **TIMOTHY** [1] - 1:9 tips [1] - 50:19 Title [2] - 14:10, 57:6 today [11] - 2:10, 2:13, 2:20, 28:1, 32:5, 36:18, 39:16, 42:9,

54:17, 56:9, 56:17

Tony [2] - 26:24, 27:2

together [1] - 31:12

top [1] - 47:22 tortures [1] - 30:15 total [10] - 12:12, 12:13, 16:21, 17:24, 18:19, 19:6, 19:9, 19:21, 20:2 totality [1] - 30:6 touch [2] - 31:2, 31:5 touches [1] - 31:14 towards [3] - 47:22, 48:9, 53:11 trafficking [3] - 6:13, 46:1, 47:4 tragic [1] - 37:22 trained [1] - 43:7 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:9 transcript [2] - 57:7, 57:9 transfer [1] - 56:5 transferring [1] -51:11 transporting [2] -3:10, 3:18 treat [1] - 30:18 treated [1] - 29:3 treatment [11] - 26:14, 32:14, 33:15, 35:13, 38:16, 39:22, 40:9, 51:21, 52:1, 52:12, 52:13 trial [1] - 27:4 tried [2] - 27:2, 44:5 trigger [1] - 29:22 true [6] - 9:12, 27:2, 40:20, 51:22, 51:23, 57:7 trust [1] - 24:7 truth [3] - 9:24, 36:25, 37:23 try [3] - 49:12, 52:4, 52:21 trying [1] - 28:11 turn [2] - 6:1, 13:8 turned [1] - 25:10 turns [1] - 25:11 two [14] - 13:22, 15:16, 15:18, 15:19, 16:7, 16:10, 16:16, 16:18, 18:9, 20:6, 24:21, 24:22, 25:9, 27:12 two-level [5] - 16:7, 16:10, 16:16, 16:18, 18.9 type [1] - 25:14

types [3] - 47:17,

47:18, 52:23

U

U.S [2] - 2:6, 32:17 ultimate [1] - 50:20 ultimately [6] - 7:9, 13:3, 13:18, 21:12, 34:10, 49:24 unacceptable [2] -23:19, 26:19 under [7] - 3:13, 10:22, 18:1, 28:7, 38:10, 43:5, 46:10 underlying [1] - 54:19 underprivileged [1] -24:10 unduly [1] - 16:14 uneducated [1] -24:10 unique [2] - 44:3 uniquely [1] - 52:17 unit [1] - 51:21 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 United [11] - 1:13, 2:2, 2:4, 13:23, 14:10, 44:12, 51:2, 56:5, 57:4, 57:6, 57:11 unless [1] - 46:16 unnoticed [1] - 26:11 unpaid [1] - 53:22 unsuccessful [1] -29:20 unwarranted [1] -21:10 up [17] - 7:22, 10:19, 15:14, 16:22, 20:11, 20:13, 23:7, 24:5, 25:16, 42:15, 44:13, 44:18, 45:10, 45:19, 48:15. 53:24 upbringings [1] -42:22 updated [1] - 39:17 uploaded [1] - 55:8 upper [3] - 20:4, 48:23, 49:25 urgency [1] - 29:19 urges [3] - 29:9, 34:20 utter[1] - 37:12

V

value [2] - 45:23, 52:17 vanished [1] - 36:21 various [1] - 3:10 verse [1] - 14:12 versus [1] - 2:2 **VI** [1] - 20:3 **via** [2] - 1:22, 37:5 victim [2] - 9:11, 16:12

victim's [2] - 24:16, 24:20 victimization [3] -37:25, 38:20, 39:1 victimize [1] - 27:21 victimized [1] - 50:15 victims [15] - 6:12, 17:6, 17:14, 17:22, 23:6, 24:12, 24:17, 24:21, 25:17, 30:5, 30:14, 31:23, 37:4, 45:11, 45:15 view [2] - 48:6, 50:10 viewed [3] - 45:7, 46:6, 46:25 virtue [1] - 41:20 voice [1] - 39:23 volumes [1] - 41:17 voluntarily [4] - 28:25, 36:20, 44:12, 52:16 voluntary [1] - 40:12 volunteer [1] - 39:21 volunteered [1] - 44:7 **VS** [1] - 1:5

W

waive [1] - 55:14

waived [1] - 54:24 walked [1] - 29:24 Wallace [6] - 30:22, 32:1, 32:3, 43:2, 43:3, 43:6 Wallace's [3] - 40:24, 43:9, 44:17 **WATTS** [1] - 1:6 Watts [51] - 2:2, 2:5, 2:7, 3:3, 5:9, 5:17, 8:5, 13:2, 13:20, 15:6, 18:19, 20:24, 23:1, 23:3, 26:19, 28:5, 28:8, 28:9, 28:11, 28:22, 29:15, 30:13, 30:20, 31:18, 32:13, 33:2, 34:2, 34:11, 34:15, 34:17, 36:13, 40:18, 41:7, 42:6, 42:13, 43:3, 43:19, 43:22, 43:25, 44:4, 45:24, 49:3, 49:21, 50:9, 50:10, 50:25, 51:17, 53:8, 53:16, 54:16, 56:8 Watts' [8] - 10:4, 12:25, 31:24, 43:16, 44:10, 51:16, 51:19, 51:20 weapons [1] - 30:10

week [1] - 55:10

weigh [2] - 13:16, 46:9

well-thought-out [1] -41:1 Western [3] - 26:25, 27:1, 57:5 WESTERN [1] - 1:1 whack [1] - 48:13 whole [2] - 14:8, 42:10 willing [2] - 38:22, 39:21 willingness [2] -46:19, 52:15 wish [3] - 29:16, 29:22, 37:22 wished [1] - 29:17 withdraw [2] - 8:8 withdrawing [1] - 6:20 withdrawn [3] - 8:4, 8:18, 9:5 wonders [1] - 50:14 words [2] - 35:22, 40:10 world [2] - 24:10, 34:22 worse [3] - 30:20, 45:18, 45:22 worst [2] - 37:22, 45:25 wrist [1] - 33:10 write [1] - 52:6 writted [1] - 56:7 written [1] - 5:16 wrongdoing [1] - 44:8

Y

wrote [2] - 29:7, 29:15

year [3] - 3:16, 4:4, 4:11 years [20] - 3:14, 16:12, 16:13, 20:13, 25:4, 26:16, 28:4, 32:19, 33:5, 33:11, 34:22, 35:5, 35:17, 40:6, 41:16, 42:23, 47:7, 47:14, 47:21, 51:23 yesterday [1] - 6:19 young [2] - 49:8, 50:14 yourself [2] - 46:21, 52:16